ROYAL EXCHANGE ASSURANCE.

INCORPORATED A.D. 1720.

FOR SEA, FIRE, LIFE AND ANNUITIES.

CHIEF OFFICE: ROYAL EXCHANGE, LONDON.

FUNDS, £4,000,000. CLAIMS PAID, £38,000,000.

FIRE.

INSURANCES ARE GRANTED AGAINST LOSS OR DAMAGE BY FIRE on PROPERTY of almost every description, at Moderate Rates.

LIFE.

DEATH DUTY POLICIES—Payment Direct to Revenue Authorities before grant of Probate.

Apply for Full Prospectus to

E. R. HANDCOCK, Secretary.

THE OLDEST & WEALTHIEST EXISTING MORTGAGE INSURANCE OFFICE.

THE LAW GUARANTEE AND TRUST SOCIETY,

LIMITED.

SUBSCRIBED CAPITAL - £1,000,000. PAID-UP - £100,000.

FIDELITY GUARANTEES OF ALL KINDS. ADMINISTRATION AND LUNACY BONDS. MORTGAGE, DEBENTURE, LICENSE, AND CONTINGENCY INSURANCE. TRUSTEESHIPS FOR DEBENTURE-HOLDERS, &C.

HEAD OFFICE: 49, Chancery-lane, W.C. | CITY OFFICE: 56, Moorgate-street, E.C.

X *IMPORTANT TO SOLICITORS* X

In Drawing LEASES or MORTGAGES of

LICENSED PROPERTY

To see that the Insurance Covenants include a policy covering the risk of LOSS OR FORFEITURE OF THE LICENSE.

Suitable clauses, settled by Counsel, can be obtained on application to

THE LICENSES INSURANCE CORPORATION AND GUARANTEE FUND, LIMITED,

24, MOORGATE STREET, LONDON, E.C.

Mortgages Guaranteed on Licensed Properties promptly, without special valuation and at low rates.

ALLIANCE ASSURANCE COMPANY.

Established 1824. Capital, £5,000,000 Sterling.

HEAD OFFICE: BARTHOLOMEW LANE, LONDON.

Chairman: RIGHT HON. LORD ROTHSCHILD.

LONDON BRANCHES: 1, ST. JAMES'S ST., S.W.; 63, CHANCERY LANE, W.C.; NORFOLK ST., STRAND; WIGMORE ST.; 3, MINCING LANE, E.C.

LIFE AND FIRE INSURANCES AT MODERATE RATES.

Life Policies free from Restrictions, with Perfect Security and Liberal Bonuses.

Special forms of Policies have been prepared to provide for payment of ESTATE DUTIES.

LEASEHOLD AND SINKING FUND POLICIES.

Full Prospectuses on application. ROBERT LEWIS, Chief Secretary.

LEGAL AND GENERAL LIFE ASSURANCE SOCIETY.

ESTABLISHED 1836.

FUNDS - - - - -	£3,000,000
INCOME - - - - -	£373,000
YEARLY BUSINESS - -	£1,000,000
BUSINESS IN FORCE -	£11,000,000

THE PERFECTED SYSTEM of Life Assurance is peculiar to this Society and embraces every modern advantage.

PERFECTED MAXIMUM POLICIES.

WITHOUT PROFITS.

The Rates for these Whole Life Policies are very moderate.

Age	Premium	Age	Premium	Age	Premium
20	£1 7 8 %	30	£1 16 %	40	£2 10 %

£1,000 POLICY WITH BONUSES

According to last results.

Valuation at 2½ p.c.:—Hm. Table of Mortality.

Duration	10 yrs.	20 yrs.	30 yrs.	40 yrs.
Amount of Policy	£1,199	£1,438	£1,724	£2,067

Next Bonus as at 31st December, 1901.

OFFICES: 10, FLEET STREET, LONDON.

VOL. XLII., No. 11.

The Solicitors' Journal and Reporter.

LONDON, JANUARY 15, 1898.

*** The Editor cannot undertake to return rejected contributions, and copies should be kept of all articles sent by writers who are not on the regular staff of the JOURNAL.

Contents.

CURRENT TOPICS 177
THE REAL REPRESENTATIVE 179
THE LIABILITY OF TRUSTEES FOR DEBENTURE-HOLDERS 180
REVIEWS 182
CORRESPONDENCE 182
LAW STUDENTS' JOURNAL 183
LEGAL NEWS 184
COURT PAPERS 185
WINDING UP NOTICES 187
CREDITORS' NOTICES 188
BANKRUPTCY NOTICES 189

Cases Reported this Week.

In the Solicitors' Journal.

Leeds and Hanley Theatre of Varieties (Ltm.) v. Broadbent 183

In the Weekly Reporter.

An Arbitration between The London County Council and the City of London Brewery Co., In re 173

Diederichsen v. Farquharson 182
Lole and Another v. Betteridge. Mallam (Claimant) 181
Mpddock v. Blackwood 166
Thomas Edward Brinsmead & Co. (Limited), In re. Tomlin's case 171
"Thrunscoe," The 175
Urban District Council of Handsworth v. Darrington and Bottsley 168

CURRENT TOPICS.

MR. SAMUEL AUGUSTUS MASON SATOW has been appointed deputy to act in the place of Mr. G. A. CROWDER, one of the masters in Mr. Justice ROMER'S chambers, who has obtained three months' leave of absence on the ground of illness. Mr. SATOW was admitted in 1871.

WE ARE informed that the St. James's Vestry, adopting the recommendation of their Law and Parliamentary Committee, have decided to answer the communication from the London County Council adversely to the Land Transfer Act, 1897, being applied to London.

WE REFERRED last week to the breach of faith on the part of the promoters of the Land Transfer Act, 1897, involved in the official letter which has been sent to the Common Council of the City of London, suggesting that the City should be included in the experimental area. A correspondent points out that under the Act there is no power to make registration compulsory in the City. He says, "by section 20 (11) "county" has the same meaning as in the Local Government Act, 1888, except that it includes a county borough. By section 100 of the last-mentioned Act "county" does not include a county of a city or of a town. The City is not a county borough (see 3rd schedule of the Local Government Act, 1888), but a county of a city; hence the compulsory provisions cannot be applied to it."

BEFORE ANY county council accepts compulsory registration of title, says a correspondent, it should certainly read and consider Mr. H. W. B. MACKAY'S article in the *Harvard Law Review* for January. It contains an unprejudiced and dispassionate discussion of the advisability, or rather the inadvisability, of applying registration of title to an old commercial and manufacturing country, where dealings with real estate are complicated and titles are often defective. The writer shows by examples that the registration of partial interests, if carried to its logical conclusion, is utterly unworkable, and, on the other hand, that no satisfactory system of *caveats* has yet been discovered. This warning voice from America ought to strengthen the reluctance already displayed to allow the new system to come into operation.

IN OUR ISSUE of the 18th of December last we regretted the uncertainty introduced by the decision of KEKEWICH, J., in *Leeds and Hanley Theatre of Varieties* v. *Broadbent* (reported *ante*,

p. 115) into the law as to the construction of covenants or provisoes for "punctual payment" of interest. The Court of Appeal has now, as reported elsewhere, unanimously reversed that decision. The result is that when a mortgagor (or presumably any other person) has a privilege secured to him on condition of "punctual payment" of interest due upon a certain day, he must, to entitle himself to claim that privilege, pay the money on the very day when it becomes due, and that he is not punctual if he comes to pay a reasonable time after. This conclusion seems to be consistent both with common sense and with general convenience. It is difficult to dissent from the remarks of the Master of the Rolls that the words punctual payment "cannot mean anything else" than payment on the due date, and that the mortgagor has only himself to blame if he suffers loss through his delay. The only case in point, *Hicks* v. *Gardiner* (1 Jur. 541), is an authority for the view now adopted by the Court of Appeal; and the usual form of proviso, which expressly contemplates payment within a specified number of days after the due date, points in the same direction. It would be a surprise to business men to be told that, after they had agreed for punctual payment of a sum of money, they were at liberty to bring it within any number of days after the due date which a judge might happen to think reasonable.

WHATEVER may be the result of the verdict of the coroner's jury in the case of the Cripplegate fire, there can be no doubt that the holding of the inquiry was imperatively necessary, and it is certainly an anomaly that the statute under which the inquiry was held should be confined in its operation to the City of London. Anciently it has been supposed that the duties of a coroner included inquiry into fires, and, indeed, into felonies generally (see Mirror of Justice, ed. 1642, pp. 45, 100), but neither COKE nor HALE recognize any such jurisdiction (4 Inst. 271, Hale P. C., ii., 57), and according to them the coroner could inquire of "no felony but of the death of man, and that *super visum corporis*." In spite of these opinions, however, it was intimated in Hawkins' Pleas of the Crown (II., 83, ed. 1824) that the old jurisdiction was still exerciseable, and though it had long fallen into desuetude, it was revived in the middle of the present century, and inquiries into the origin of fires at which there had been no loss of life were held in London, Lincoln, Doncaster, and some other places. At length objection was taken by the owner of premises in Manchester, upon which a fire had occurred, to the holding of the inquest; and, in *Reg.* v. *Herford* (29 L. J. Q. B. 249), it was held that the non-user of the jurisdiction for many hundred years was strong evidence that it never existed, while, even if it did anciently exist, it could not after such non-user be revived without the authority of Parliament.

SO FAR as the country generally is concerned, the result of *Reg.* v. *Herford* has been confirmed by the Coroners Act, 1887, which, by section 44, provides that a coroner shall not hold inquests of felonies except felonies on inquisitions of death. The authorities of the City of London, however, saw the advantages which would accrue from investing a public officer with the power of holding a judicial inquiry into the cause of fires, and by the City of London Fire Inquests Act, 1888 (51 & 52 Vict. c. xxxviii.), it was enacted that in case of loss or injury by fire within the City of London and the liberties thereof situate in the county of Middlesex, it should be the duty of the coroner to consider any report made to him under the Act by the Commissioner of City Police or the Chief Officer of the Metropolitan Fire Brigade, and to hold an inquest if either the Lord Mayor or the Lord Chief Justice or a Secretary of State should so order, or the coroner should be of opinion that proper cause for such an inquiry existed. Upon such an inquest the coroner has the same powers as when holding an inquest upon death, and, if the jury find that there is a case of arson against known persons, their verdict has the effect of an indictment. In the case of the Cripplegate fire the verdict lacks this result, inasmuch as the finding is that the premises where the fire originated were "wilfully fired by some person or persons unknown," but it is unlikely that the proceedings will end here, and, even if they should, the inquiry into the efficiency of the fire brigade has been in itself of great importance. Obviously the power of holding similar inquiries should exist throughout the country.

THE PROVISIONAL Land Transfer Rules, 1897, which we published in our issue of the 1st of January, lead us to hope for a serviceable code of rules when the old rules of 1875 and 1889 are superseded. We have not hitherto been backward in condemning the provisions of the Land Transfer Acts, 1875 and 1897, as impracticable and mischievous; it is therefore a relief to us that we are able to regard the new rules with so much comparative satisfaction. The Advisory Committee appointed under section 22 of the Act of 1897 have, during their short period of service, we venture to think, already more than justified their existence. The principle underlying the Acts is to disregard the reasonable wishes of landowners for the sake of securing a rigid system of transfer; the new rules, on the other hand (see *e.g.*, rule 41), recognize that a certain amount of flexibility is essential. A most arduous task, however, still lies before the committee. They may do much to simplify and define the practice at the Land Registry, but nothing short of a power to override the express provisions of the Acts could enable them to constitute a scheme of registration in respect of which it could not be reasonably predicted that a general muddle would ensue upon its application. The new rules being merely provisional, it may be somewhat premature to attempt any criticism. But we may suggest that no instrument is ever registered (see rule 28); nor do the rules (see rule 2) appear to get over the difficulty involved by reason of the loose definitions contained in section 6 (10) of the Act of 1897. Inasmuch as many of the difficulties arising on the Acts are at present left unprovided for (for instance, as to whether a mortgagee by deposit of the certificate is safe in making further advances without making a new search for cautions), we apprehend that the order putting in force the compulsory provisions will not be allowed to take effect until the full code of rules has been issued. Possibly objection may be taken to the regulation (see rule 38) under which the registrar is to enter on the register notice of a mortgage by deposit of the certificate. It is, we have reason to believe, a very common practice for bankers in Yorkshire, at the instance of their clients, not to register a memorandum of their charge in the local deeds registry, on account of the publicity incidental thereto. So long, however, as the secrecy of the Land Registry registers is hedged in by proper precautions, this objection would appear to have little substance in it, though care should be taken that when the entry is cancelled the cancellation should not appear on the register, as landowners often do not wish their successors to know that they effected even a temporary loan. To conclude, we may respectfully wish the Advisory Committee every success in their efforts to modify and explain the haphazard and obscure legislation effected by the Acts.

NOTWITHSTANDING the very extensive discretionary powers given to the Education Department by the Voluntary Schools Act, 1897, the Department has deemed it necessary to consult the law officers of the Crown upon the question whether a debt, in respect of the annual maintenance of a school, contracted before the passing of the Act, on the 18th April, 1897, can be defrayed out of the "aid grant" directed by the Act to be annually paid out of moneys provided by Parliament. The answer of the law officers in the negative, together with the reasons for it, which has been sent round in an official circular to the associations representing 14,000 voluntary schools in England and Wales, has created some disappointment, and a correspondent of the *Times* has boldly questioned the correctness, in point of law, of the opinion. The question raised is as difficult as it is serious. The words of sub-section 2 of section 1 of the Act are that "the aid grant shall be distributed by the Education Department to such voluntary schools and in such manner and amounts as the Department thinks best for the purpose of helping necessitous" (there is no definition of "necessitous") "schools, and increasing their efficiency, due regard being had to the maintenance of voluntary subscriptions"; and it is added in sub-section 7 that "the

decision of the Education Department upon any question relating to the distribution or allotment of the aid grant shall be final." The effect of sub-section 7 we take to be, that it was a pure act of grace on the part of the Education Department to take the opinion of the law officers. On many points the action of the Department may be questioned before the courts of law, but this is not one of them. The words of sub-section 7 are too strong to be got over, and the action of the Department in administering the aid grant cannot be questioned by *certiorari* or otherwise. Rightly or wrongly, Parliament has clothed the Department with absolute power of distribution amongst voluntary schools. But is the opinion right or wrong? The reason given for it is that a payment for the purpose of extinguishing a debt "would not be for the purpose of helping the school or increasing its efficiency, but for the purpose of relieving the individuals who had maintained the school in the past." If the debt is not in reality chargeable to the school fund, but only to individual managers who have advanced its amount to the school fund, so that by paying it out of the aid grant the school fund will not gain *pro tanto*, this reason seems to be quite sound. But in the course of the administration of a voluntary school, as ordinarily conducted, the assets for the financial year ending in March, 1898, would no doubt be diminished by the sum required for payment of the debt, and to pay the debt out of the aid grant would, it appears to us, be to "help" the school within the meaning of the Act. It is, we think, somewhat unfortunate that the opinion of a court of law cannot, by virtue of the peremptory terms of the 7th sub-section, to which we have referred, be taken upon this question.

The provisions of section 116 of the County Courts Act, 1888, distinguishing between actions founded upon tort and upon contract continue to be a source of litigation, and an important decision upon this distinction was given in the recent case of *Turner* v. *Stallibrass* (46 W. R. 81). If an action founded on contract is brought in the High Court, and the plaintiff recovers less than £20, he gets no costs, and if he recovers £20 and upwards, but less than £50, he gets costs only on the county court scale. If, however, the action is founded on tort, the corresponding limits are £10 and £20. The section seems to assume that actions of contract and tort are readily distinguishable, but although in general it is perfectly easy to say in which category an action falls, there is a considerable area of debateable ground, and a controversy which puzzled pleaders in the old days when forms of action existed, has, by the wisdom of the Legislature, been kept alive for the benefit of the present generation of lawyers. The difficulty chiefly arises when a contract exists between the parties, and in the course of the execution of the contract damage has been caused which *primâ facie* would be a subject for compensation even apart from the contract. In *Fleming* v. *Manchester, Sheffield, and Lincolnshire Railway Co.* (27 W. R. 481, 4 Q. B. D. 81) it was considered that any such injury arose out of the contract, and hence an action against a railway for negligently carrying goods was held to be an action founded on contract, but in *Taylor* v. *Manchester, Sheffield, and Lincolnshire Railway Co.* (43 W. R. 120; 1895, 1 Q. B. 134) that principle seems to have been set aside. A distinction was now taken between acts of omission or nonfeasance and acts of misfeasance, and it was considered that acts of the latter class would give rise to a cause of action founded on tort. Hence an action against a railway company for personal injury to a passenger was deemed to be of this class where the injury was caused by a porter negligently shutting the carriage door. In other words, the tort was not excluded by the contract to carry safely. Subsequently, however, in *Kelly* v. *Metropolitan Railway Co.* (43 W. R. 497; 1895, 1 Q. B. 944), it was seen that the distinction missed the real point, and it was held that the test of tort was whether the defendant had violated a duty which was imposed upon him independently of the special contract. Thus an action for personal injury caused by the negligence of a railway company was held to be an action of tort without regard to the exact nature of the conduct, whether nonfeasance or misfeasance, to which the injury was due. The latest case—*Turner* v. *Stallibrass*—has arisen in connection with a contract of agistment. The plaintiff delivered a horse to the defendants to be agisted, and the horse was injured through the defendants negligently putting it into a field where there was a barbed wire fence. It has been held by the Court of Appeal that, apart from the contract of agistment, there was a common law duty imposed on the defendants by the bailment to take reasonable care of the horse; and the violation of this duty gave rise to an action of tort, so that the plaintiff, who had recovered £30, was entitled to costs upon the High Court scale. But it will still be open to doubt in future cases what duties spring from the bailment and what from the contract, and a distinction so vague as the one in question clearly ought never to have been made the test upon a matter of costs.

Very few offences remain at the present time for which an adult can be sentenced to be flogged, and for some of those few the punishment is obsolete, and very properly so. There are, however, two serious crimes for which judges are not only legally able, but in many cases very ready, to inflict this punishment. These are robbery with violence, and the much more uncommon crime of attempting to choke, strangle, or suffocate a person with intent to commit a felony. It seems to be universally admitted that cases of robbery with violence have become much less frequent under the fear of this punishment, and probably, if all the judges acted on the principle of those few who most often pass sentence of flogging, the crime would be almost stamped out. It is not easy to understand the state of mind of those (no doubt estimable) persons who are too tender-hearted to flog a brutal ruffian who does not care what pain he inflicts in order to effect his guilty purpose. Probably acute physical pain is the only thing such a man can really feel, and it seems to be the one punishment he really dreads. He is, most likely, as low in the moral scale as he can be, and it is ridiculous to talk of the "degrading" effect of a whipping on such a person. There are, however, several other crimes besides robbery very common throughout the country which might be dealt with by flogging, to the great advantage of the public. The quarter sessions of Essex last week passed a resolution to the effect that the criminal law should be so amended as to allow judges of assize and justices of quarter sessions, at their discretion, to inflict the punishment of flogging, in addition to imprisonment, in all cases of rape, attempted rape, and indecent assault on women and children. Most persons will probably agree, to some extent, with this resolution, especially those who are often in criminal courts and know the details of these unreportable cases. The crimes mentioned are terribly frequent. No offence in the whole calendar of crime is more brutal, or more deserving of corporal chastisement, than outrages on female children. Flogging, however, is a punishment which ought to be awarded to adults with the utmost circumspection, and (it is submitted) only by the highest judicial officers. It would be dangerous in the extreme to put such a power into the hands of chairmen of quarter sessions, who, as a rule, are without legal training, and whose experience consists in dealing with a few cases four times a year.

THE REAL REPRESENTATIVE.

It may be convenient, now that the real representative has made his appearance, that we should give a summary of the results which we arrived at in our former articles (*ante*. pp. 42 and 61), accompanied by some further remarks upon the powers of the real representative with respect to unregistered land under the Land Transfer Act, 1897, which we shall for brevity refer to as "the Act."

Where a person dies after 1897 who was solely and beneficially entitled to freehold land for an estate in fee simple in possession, it seems fairly clear that that land will vest in his executor or administrator from time to time. The Act, however, leaves the devolution of other kinds of real estate in doubt—for instance, the devolution of estates tail, or for life, the estate of a remainderman, trust and mortgage estates, an estate *pur autre vie*, a right of re-entry, a personal inheritance, and even chattels real may or may not be affected by the Act.

Confining our attention to the simple case first above-

mentioned, it seems that the real representative, after probate or administration (see rule as to probate, *ante*, p. 162) has been taken out, has the following powers:

(1) A power to sell or mortgage for the purposes of administration, in aid of the personal estate, to the like extent as money could be raised by the sale of real estate in an administration action before the Act.

(2) A power to assent to a devise or otherwise give effect to the rights of persons beneficially entitled by conveyance.

(3) The like general powers of management, composition of debts, and other powers with respect to real estate and the rents and profits thereof, as a legal personal representative has with respect to chattels real.

To these should be added:

(4) The power of appropriation conferred by section 4 of the Act, and the following powers which, though they seem to be conferred by the Act, should not, it is conceived, be exercised by a prudent executor or administrator until the Act has been judicially interpreted, namely:

(5) A power to lease, subject to the question whether a lease is the best method of administering the assets.

(6) A power to sell or mortgage land not specifically devised for the payment of legacies.

The power of sale.—The power to sell or mortgage is paramount to the title of all persons claiming beneficially under the will or on the intestacy of the deceased, and a purchaser or mortgagee in good faith is not bound to inquire as to the necessity of a sale or mortgage; but as between the real representative and his beneficiaries, he must exercise his powers with due regard to their rights.

Estate duty.—A purchaser of unregistered land from the real representative takes subject to estate duty, and will require a certificate of its payment to be produced. The question of estate duty raises some difficulty. Probably the real representative stands in the same position as regards payment of death duties as an executor does in the case of chattels real before the passing of the Act. There seems, however, to be some doubt whether the real representative may pay the proportion of estate duty which is a charge on the real estate, inasmuch as the Finance Act, 1894, s. 6 (2), in terms only enables an executor or administrator to pay it in respect of property which, by virtue of any testamentary disposition of the deceased, is under his control, or in respect of property not under his control, if the persons accountable for the duty request him to pay it. Now, the land is *under the control* of the real representative, but it is so notwithstanding any testamentary disposition, so that the provisions of the Finance Act, 1894, scarcely seem applicable.

Real representative a trustee.—Subject to his powers and obligations, the real representative is made a trustee for the persons beneficially interested (section 2 (1)). This provision probably preserves the rights of all persons who would be interested in the real estate if the Act had not passed. It may, perhaps, be doubted, however, whether one effect of making the real representative a trustee is that on the death of a sole surviving executor intestate, the legal estate passes to his administrator or vests in the heir of the original testator, subject to be divested on the appointment of an administrator *de bonis non* or on obtaining a vesting order.

Assent to a devise.—The real representative will have a year before he can be compelled to assent to a devise, but the assent, when given, will relate back to the death of the testator, so that the devisee will be entitled to the rents and profits (if any) as from the death: Goodeve's Personal Property, 2nd ed., p. 394; Wms. Exors., 9th ed., p. 1232.

Assent should be in writing.—An assent to a devise may be verbal or implied (section 3 (1)) except, it is conceived, where the devise is in terms residuary. An assent should in practice, however, be in writing, whether the devise is specific or residuary, as a purchaser from the devisee must have evidence in writing of the assent to complete his title. An assent can only be given to a devise; a conveyance will be required in all other cases.

Assent where real representative is beneficially entitled.—An assent will be necessary even where the real representative is himself beneficially entitled: Wms. Exors., 9th ed., p. 1233.

Doubt as to heirship.—In the case of intestacy where there is any doubt as to the heirship, the real representative should refuse to convey, except pursuant to an order obtained under section 3, sub-section 2, of the Act.

Small sales.—The Act does not relieve an administrator from responsibility in case he conveys to the wrong person. In the case of small sales, therefore, a condition making his conveyance conclusive proof of heirship will be useful, and will not, it is conceived, be deterrent.

Will of real estate must be proved.—The title of a devisee will now depend to a great extent on the probate, for although an executor may assent to a devise before probate, nevertheless the right to give the assent must be evidenced by the probate, hence a purchaser from a devisee will in all cases insist on probate being taken out, if it has not already been done.

The power of appropriation.—The power of appropriation conferred by section 4 (1) of the Act is not applicable in the case of intestacy. The section provides that notice of an intended appropriation is to be given to all persons interested in the residuary estate before the appropriation is effectual. This would seem, in the case of unregistered land, to make the notice part of the title to the land appropriated. Moreover, the provision as to notice seems to render the section worse than useless, inasmuch as there is no method for serving the notice on persons not *sui juris*, or who are not *in esse* or ascertained. Before the Act an executor had power to appropriate with the consent of the person entitled to the legacy or "share": see *Re Lepine* (1892, 1 Ch. 210). It may now be questioned whether the section has not rendered notice necessary in all cases of appropriation in respect of a legacy or share, thus fettering the executor's original power, and in some cases rendering it impossible for him to exercise it. The power may, however, be of some service where the legatee is an infant or lunatic and the other beneficiaries are all *sui juris*.

Right of appeal against an appropriation.—The Act gives the residuary devisees a right of appeal within the prescribed time against a valuation and appropriation by the real representative: section 4 (1). This will enable any unfair valuation to be contested. No time within which the appeal is to be made has, as yet, been prescribed.

THE LIABILITY OF TRUSTEES FOR DEBENTURE-HOLDERS.

The decision of the House of Lords in *Gosling* v. *Gaskell* (1897, A. C. 575) is of great importance to trustees for debenture-holders, inasmuch as it saves them from the personal liability with which, in certain not uncommon events, they were threatened by the judgments of Lord Russell, C.J., and the majority of the Court of Appeal. It is now settled that where, under the debenture trust deed, the trustees are empowered to appoint a receiver who shall be the agent of the company, the receiver is not turned into the agent of the trustees by virtue of special provisions in the instrument of appointment designed to keep a check upon him in respect of moneys received and paid by him; nor does he become the agent of the trustees upon the winding up of the company. Although, therefore, the receiver carries on business and incurs liabilities, these are not liabilities which attach personally upon the trustees as his principals.

The circumstances which have given rise to this decision are as follows: Poole & White (Limited) borrowed the sum of £11,000 upon three series of debentures—£7,000 upon the first issue, £3,000 upon the second, and £1,000 upon the third. The second series were charged upon the whole assets of the company, and were secured by a trust deed dated the 18th of May, 1892, of which two members of the banking firm of Goslings & Sharpe were trustees. By this deed certain powers were conferred upon the trustees in the event of the security becoming enforceable, and, even though it had not become enforceable, the trustees were authorized, upon certifying that in their opinion the security was endangered, to appoint a receiver who was to enter into possession of the mortgaged assets and to carry on the business of the company. It was expressly provided that any person so appointed was to be the agent of the company, who alone were to be liable for his acts and defaults. In July, 1892, the trustees certified that the security was en-

dangered, and appointed KELLY receiver. The instrument of appointment contained provisions which were not in the trust deed, to the effect that the receiver should open an account at the trustees' bank into which all moneys received were to be forthwith paid, and that both receipts and cheques should be countersigned by a specified person. The company accepted the appointment by putting KELLY into possession of its assets and transferring to him the conduct of its business, and from that time till February, 1895, he carried on the business as the "receiver of Poole & White (Limited)." In September, 1892, a winding-up petition was presented, and in the course of the same month an order was made, but neither the liquidator nor the first debenture-holders interfered with KELLY'S management of the business, and he continued to carry it on without any variation save that after September, 1893, the provision for the counter-signature of receipts and cheques was not insisted upon. Between December, 1893, and January, 1895, goods were supplied to KELLY in his capacity of receiver by GASKELL and another, and the action of *Gaskell* v. *Gosling* was brought against the trustees of the second debenture trust deed to recover £488, the balance of the price of the goods. It was held by Lord RUSSELL, C.J., that, in respect of the business carried on by KELLY after the winding up, he was the agent of the trustees. Hence, since all the goods in question were supplied after that date, they were liable to pay the plaintiffs' claim, and judgment was given accordingly.

In the Court of Appeal this result was affirmed by a majority (Lord ESHER, M.R., and LOPES, L.J.), though an elaborate dissentient judgment, which has practically been adopted by the House of Lords, was delivered by RIGBY, L.J. In the opinion of Lord ESHER the restraint upon the receiver with respect to receipts and cheques deprived him of the freedom in respect of the business of the company which was contemplated by the trust deed, with the result that he was not in fact a receiver under the deed, and so the trustees were not entitled to the benefit of the provision that he was to be the agent of the company. This point, however, was not, in fact, necessary for the decision, both Lord ESHER and Lord Justice LOPES being of opinion that, whatever was KELLY'S position before the winding up, yet afterwards, since the company was precluded from carrying on business, he ceased to be agent for the company and became agent for the trustees.

To some extent, doubtless, this result was founded upon the special circumstances of the case. The trustees were cognizant of the winding up and of KELLY'S continuance as manager of the business, and the banking arrangements indicated a direct approval of such continuance. But these or similar circumstances are by no means uncommon, and in any case where a winding up supervenes upon the appointment of a receiver and manager of a business by trustees for the debenture-holders, it is probable that there would be enough to fix the trustees with liability for debts contracted after the winding up if the decision of the Lord Chief Justice and of the majority of the Court of Appeal had been correct. Thus trustees would have been placed in a more onerous position than they contemplated when undertaking the duties of the office, and to a large extent they would have been deprived of the benefit of the settled practice that a mortgagee, in appointing a receiver, appoints him as agent of the mortgagor, and himself incurs no liability in consequence of the appointment. Such a result, however, has been averted by the decision of the House of Lords. The two points upon which the judgment of Lord ESHER was based are both held to be unsound. The provisions with respect to the countersigning of receipts and cheques were not intended, in the words of Lord HERSCHELL, "to reserve to the trustees any control over the management of the business, but only to act as a check to prevent any improper use by the receiver of the moneys of the company and to insure that they should be properly applied." While, even if these provisions went beyond the powers conferred upon the trustees by the trust deed, so as to entitle the company to object to the appointment, yet no such objection was taken. The provisions, indeed, were as much for the benefit of the company as of the debenture-holders, and, notwithstanding the inclusion of the special provisions in the instrument of appointment, the appointment was accepted and acted upon by the company. Moreover, although after the winding up the company could no longer be the principal in the contracts entered into by KELLY, yet it did not thereby follow that the trustees forthwith became his principals. He was appointed by them expressly on the footing that they were not to be his principals, and the House of Lords declined to allow that they must necessarily step into a position which the company could no longer fill. "It is apparently assumed," said Lord HALSBURY, C., "that immediately on the winding-up order Mr. KELLY, who, it is assumed, had been up to that time the agent for the company (as indeed he was so described, and as by the terms of the mortgage-deed he was bound to be), suddenly became the agent of the trustees. That no new authority was in fact given to Mr. KELLY is admitted, but why the making of the order to wind up the company should suddenly convert Mr. KELLY, who was there as the agent of the company, into the agent of the trustees is a problem that I have not been able to solve."

Of course no express authority from the trustees to KELLY would have been necessary in order to convert him into their agent had it been possible to shew that under the circumstances of the case he was carrying on the business under an implied authority, but this could only be done by adopting a line of argument which since *Cox* v. *Hickman* (8 H. L. C. 268) has been untenable. Formerly it would have been possible to urge that KELLY was carrying on the business for the benefit of the trustees, and that consequently the business was theirs, and they were subject to its liabilities. But under the similar circumstances of *Cox* v. *Hickman*, where a business was being carried on under the provisions of a trust deed by which the profits were to be applied, first in payment of creditors, and then the assets were to be re-transferred to the company, it was held that the business was not carried on on behalf of the creditors so as to impose upon them the liability of partners. The test of liability, it was pointed out, was not whether the creditors were entitled to participate in profits, but whether the business was carried on by persons acting on their behalf, and this test was not satisfied by the fact that the creditors were to benefit by the business. "The mere concurrence," said Lord CRANWORTH, "of creditors in an arrangement under which they permit their debtor, or trustees for their debtor, to continue his trade, applying the profits in discharge of their demands, does not make them partners with their debtor or the trustees. The debtor is still the person solely interested in the profits, save only that he has mortgaged them to his creditors. He receives the benefit of the profits as they accrue, though he has precluded himself from applying them to any other purpose than the discharge of his debts. The trade is not carried on by or on account of the creditors; though their consent is necessary in such a case, for without it all the property might be seized by them in execution. But the trade still remains the trade of the debtor or his trustees; the debter or his trustees are the persons by or on behalf of whom it is carried on." This principle is further illustrated by the judgment of the Privy Council in *Mollwo, March, & Co.* v. *The Court of Wards* (L. R. 4 P. C. 419), and it conclusively shews that in such a case as *Gosling* v. *Gaskell* the mere right of the debenture trustees as creditors to participate in the profits of the business does not make them the principals of the person by whom it is being carried on. There being thus neither an express nor an implied authority for KELLY to carry on the business on behalf of the trustees for the debenture-holders, no liability for the debts contracted by him attached upon the trustees, and the decision of the Court of Appeal was reversed. A different result would have made the position of debenture trustees one of much difficulty. As the law now stands, they can safely rely upon the usual provision that a receiver appointed by them shall be the agent of the company without the necessity of at once stopping the business in the event of the company being wound up.

It is arranged that the annual dinner of the Hastings and St. Leonards Law Students' Society, at which the Lord Chief Justice, who is president, will take the chair, will be held on Friday, January 21, at the Queen's Hotel. Lord Russell will propose the toast of the evening.

REVIEWS.

THE NEW ABRIDGMENT.

ENCYCLOPÆDIA OF THE LAWS OF ENGLAND: BEING A NEW ABRIDGMENT BY THE MOST EMINENT LEGAL AUTHORITIES. Under the General Editorship of A. WOOD RENTON, M.A., LL.B., Barrister-at-Law. VOL. IV.: COUNTY DISTRICT TO EMPLOYERS AND WORKMEN. London: Sweet & Maxwell (Limited); Edinburgh: Wm. Green & Sons.

The present volume of the New Abridgment shews no falling off in the care with which the articles are prepared. Some of them, indeed, are admirable specimens of the manner in which the essence of the law and the authorities upon a complicated and difficult subject can be given within a comparatively small compass. Among the more important articles are those by Mr. Foa on Covenants in Leases and on Distress; by Mr. D. M. Kerby on Custom; by Mr. Blake Odgers, Q.C., on Damages; by Mr. Frank Evans on Debentures; by Mr. T. Cyprian Williams on Deeds; and by Mr. Justice Phillimore and Mr. G. G. Phillimore on Demurrage. The subject of Easements is shortly treated by Mr. J. L. Goddard, and the practice with reference to the summons for directions is very well brought up to date by an article by Mr. F. A. Stringer. "Employers and Workmen" looks like a promising title, but it is dismissed in a page, the subject being really scattered among a number of separate articles, references to which are given. It is curious that the subject of covenants in general, as distinguished from covenants in leases, should not be accorded the honour of a separate title, and there does not seem to be any reference, except perhaps at p. 25 in the course of the article on Covenants in Leases, to guide the reader to a statement of the law of covenants running with the land. The half-page on Egypt, to which attention is called as one of the principal articles, is disappointing, and the New Abridgment need hardly have prefaced this meagre information with the remark that Egypt is "a country at the north-eastern extremity of Africa." The subject, if it was to be introduced at all, deserved better treatment.

There is an interesting note by Mr. C. Fisk Beach, jun., of the New York bar, on Eminent Domain, a phrase well known in American law, although hardly acclimatized with us; and the article on Death Duties, by Mr. W. F. Craies, with its clearly-arranged account of the various duties now payable, will be found useful.

STATUTE LAW.

PATERSON'S PRACTICAL STATUTES. THE PRACTICAL STATUTES OF THE SESSION 1897 (60 & 61 VICTORIA). WITH INTRODUCTIONS, NOTES, TABLES OF STATUTES REPEALED AND SUBJECTS ALTERED, LISTS OF LOCAL AND PERSONAL AND PRIVATE ACTS, AND A COPIOUS INDEX. Edited by JAMES SUTHERLAND COTTON, Barrister-at-Law. Horace Cox.

The statutes of 1897 do not afford much scope for editorial explanation and comment. The Session produced only two Acts of first importance—the Workmen's Compensation Act and the Land Transfer Act. Mr. Cotton has prefaced the former with a full statement of the new principle which it introduces into the relation of employer and workman, and has furnished references which will shew the changes it has effected in the Employers' Liability Act, 1880. Annotations have also been added to the Land Transfer Act and other Acts, such as the Weights and Measures (Metric System) Act and the Infant Life Protection Act. The series gives the statutes in a very convenient form.

BOOKS RECEIVED.

Ruling Cases. Arranged, Annotated, and Edited by ROBERT CAMPBELL, M.A., Barrister-at-Law, assisted by other Members of the Bar. With American Notes by IRVING BROWNE. Vol. XIII.: Infant—Insurance. Stevens & Sons (Limited). Price 25s. net.

A Treatise on the Law Relating to Debentures and Debenture Stock issued by Trading and Public Companies and by Local Authorities, with Forms and Precedents. By PAUL FREDERICK SIMONSON, M.A., Barrister-at Law. Effingham Wilson; Sweet & Maxwell (Limited).

Hints to Young Valuers. A Practical Treatise on the Valuation of Property. By ANTHONY RICHARD CRAGG, F.G.S. With Explanations of the Legal Principles involved. By JAMES ROBERT VERNAM MARCHANT, M.A., Barrister-at-Law. The Land Agents' Record (Limited).

Precedents of General Requisitions on Title, with Explanatory Notes and Observations. By HERBERT A. DICKINS, Solicitor. Second Edition. Stevens & Sons (Limited). Price 5s.

CORRESPONDENCE.

THE LAND TRANSFER ACT, 1897.

[*To the Editor of the Solicitors' Journal.*]

Sir,—If the selected area for the experimental trial of compulsory registration of title be limited to so much of the county of London as lies within the geographical county of Middlesex, there will be no necessity to increase the present staff at the Land Registry, which has for some years performed also the duties of Registrar of Deeds for Middlesex. For whatever land is, as the result of sales, placed upon the register under the Land Transfer Acts will cease to be subject to the registration of deeds. Consequently, the work of the one office will be lightened in exact proportion to the increase in the other, and, if registration of title prove a failure and be repealed, the staff will simply resume their present duties and there will be no increased cost to bear.

If the compulsory area be elsewhere, a new office will have to be provided and a new staff organized, and, in the event of failure, provision will have to be made for these elsewhere.

This is one of the many arguments which have led me to advocate the restricted area.

The Act of 1897 (section 20, sub-section 10) contemplates the utilization of any existing land registry, and appears to point to Middlesex or Yorkshire as the area to be selected for experiment.

10, Lincoln's-inn, London, Jan. 7. BENJ. G. LAKE.

[*To the Editor of the Solicitors' Journal.*]

Sir,—Mr. Fortescue-Brickdale, the deputy registrar, in a letter that has appeared in the press, states that the ordnance survey maps will be "used in registration under the Land Transfer Act." As ordnance maps are not admitted as evidence in our courts, I would like to ask him what will be the value of a plan on a certificate or a deed which has been copied from the ordnance map if the certificate or deed has to be produced in court?

It does not seem to be sufficiently known that the system of registration of title created by the English Acts is wholly different to the systems, whether compulsory or permissive, in operation in our colonies or in any foreign country. The registries abroad recognise only an absolute title. As it was found to be practically impossible to register such a title in this country, provision is made for registering a title either as (*a*) absolute, (*b*) qualified, (*c*) possessory. It is admitted that if registration of title is made compulsory in the county of London, purchasers will only apply for possessory titles as being the cheapest and quickest obtainable. It is further admitted that possessory titles will have no present value, but it is suggested that in course of years they may grow into value. In the meantime the title will have to be investigated and deeds will have to be prepared under the present system. As resort will only be had to the registry after the deeds are completed, it is well the public should know what the additional costs are likely to be that they will have to pay for the problematical benefit, which is the most that is promised under the new Act. I enclose a table I have compiled, which gives the fees now payable on purchases between £75 and £1,000 and the additional amounts that will, at the least, be payable if registration is made compulsory. It will be noticed that the expenses will be increased some 30 per cent. To complete the calculations I have estimated the solicitor's additional remuneration at 6s. per cent., the same amount as is to be charged for registration fees. Anyone who has had any experience of the worry and delay of carrying technical matters through a Government department will admit that, in such cases as I am referring to, the solicitor's remuneration will be but a purely nominal one if limited in accordance with my estimate. J. S. RUBINSTEIN.

The following is the table referred to by our correspondent:

Table shewing the charges at present payable on the purchase of freehold property from £75 to £1,000 and the additional amounts that will, at the least, be payable if registration of title is made compulsory in London:

Purchase Money.	Present Charges.*	Additional Charges.†	Total amount of Future Charges.
£	£ s. d.	£ s. d.	£ s. d.
75 ...	3 7 6 ...	11 6 ...	4 0 0
100 ...	5 10 0 ...	14 6 ...	6 4 6
200 ...	6 0 0 ...	1 6 6 ...	7 6 6
300 ...	6 10 0 ...	1 18 6 ...	8 8 6
400 ...	8 0 0 ...	2 10 6 ...	10 10 6
500 ...	10 0 0 ...	3 2 6 ...	13 2 6
600 ...	12 0 0 ...	3 14 6 ...	15 14 6
700 ...	14 0 0 ...	4 6 6 ...	18 6 6
800 ...	16 0 0 ...	4 18 6 ...	20 18 6
900 ...	18 0 0 ...	5 10 6 ...	23 10 6
1,000 ...	20 0 0 ...	6 2 6 ...	26 2 6

* These charges are made up of the scale charges allowed under the Solicitors' Remuneration Act, 1881, and the *ad valorem* stamp duty of 10s. per cent.

† These charges are made up as follows: 2s. 6d. the costs of an ordnance map, 6s. per cent. on the purchase-money for registration fees, and a like per centage, the estimated costs payable to the purchaser's solicitor of and incident to the work of carrying through and completing the registration.

TITLE OF ACTION IN WHICH THERE IS AN INFANT DEFENDANT.

[*To the Editor of the Solicitors' Journal.*]

Sir,—I am at present acting in two Chancery actions, in both of which there is an infant defendant who has appeared by his guardian *ad litem*. The first of these actions is in the Liverpool District Registry of the Chancery Division of the High Court of Justice, and Mr. Registrar Lowndes in all proceedings subsequent to such appearance adopts the course of adding in the heading or title of the action, after the name of the infant, the following words: "By A. B., his guardian, *ad litem*."

The other case is in the Liverpool District of the Palatine Court, and Mr. Registrar Taylor has declined to allow such words to be added in the title after the name of the infant, on the ground that there is no jurisdiction to alter the heading, and also that it is unnecessary, as an infant must necessarily appear by a guardian *ad litem*.

Which of these courses is correct? My experience would tend to show that that adopted by Mr. Registrar Lowndes was preferable, as for many reasons it is much better to have on the face of the order or judgment a statement who is the guardian *ad litem* for the infant, and that is the course which I have always myself adopted in all cases in which I have been engaged, but I find the practice alters so much nowadays that I should like to be quite sure which is the more correct view.

ARTHUR S. MATHER.

Liverpool, Jan. 12.

CASES OF THE WEEK.

Court of Appeal.

LEEDS AND HANLEY THEATRE OF VARIETIES (LIM.) *v.* BROADBENT.
No. 2. 11th Jan.

MORTGAGE—PRINCIPAL NOT TO BE CALLED IN FOR THREE YEARS IF INTEREST PAID "PUNCTUALLY"—PAYMENT WITHIN REASONABLE TIME AFTER DUE DATE, WHETHER "PUNCTUAL"—INJUNCTION TO RESTRAIN SALE BY MORTGAGEE.

This was an appeal from a decision of Kekewich, J. (reported *ante*, p. 115), who had granted an injunction to restrain the defendants from selling or advertising for sale certain premises comprised in an indenture of mortgage dated the 15th of February, 1897, and made between the plaintiffs of the one part and the defendants of the other part. The mortgage contained a proviso that "it is hereby agreed that payment of the principal money hereby secured shall not be required by the mortgagees or any person claiming under them" within three years from the date of the mortgage 'if in the meantime every half-yearly payment of interest shall be punctually paid." The first half-yearly payment of interest fell due on the 15th of August, 1897, and the money was not then paid. On the 16th the plaintiff Broadbent wrote to the secretary of the company asking for immediate payment, and on the 17th the secretary replied that he would submit the matter to the next meeting of directors. On the 20th Broadbent gave the secretary notice calling in the mortgage money. On the 24th one Musgrave, a director of the company, sent the defendants a cheque for the interest. The defendants accepted the cheque, but claimed to enforce their notice. In November, 1897, the plaintiff company issued a writ, claiming a declaration that the defendants were not entitled to require payment of the principal moneys under the mortgage. The plaintiff company contended that "punctual" payment meant only payment on or within a reasonable time after the day named, and that if the true construction of the mortgage was not in accordance with their contention the deed should be rectified. They moved for an injunction to restrain the sale threatened by the defendants. Kekewich, J., held that "punctual" meant at or within a reasonable time after the time named, and granted the injunction. The defendants appealed. It was admitted that if a proper notice calling in the money had been given it had never been waived.

THE COURT (LINDLEY, M.R., and RIGBY and VAUGHAN WILLIAMS, L.JJ.) allowed the appeal.

LINDLEY, M.R., said: I do not think we need hear any reply in this case, which I think is a singularly plain one. In the first place, the question arises what documents we are to look at. Are we to treat this as a suit for rectification? Is there any even plausible ground for holding the mortgagees' hands and telling them that they are not to call in their money? Mr. Warrington felt so little confidence in that argument that he did not even allude to it; but his junior has put it forward. So far as we can judge from the materials before us, I think there is nothing in it at all. Broadbent and Carpenter, the mortgagees, had entered into an agreement for a mortgage to secure £7,000, which agreement might, no doubt, have resulted in a draft mortgage framed differently from this one. The draft was submitted to the parties' solicitors in the usual way, and was ultimately executed; and all that we have to do is to look at the mortgage deed and see what it says. It contains a covenant to pay on the 15th of August, 1897, the principal sum of £7,000 with interest at 5 per cent. and afterwards to pay interest at 5 per cent. on the 15th of February and the 15th of August. Therefore, if the plaintiffs did not pay on the 15th of August an action could be brought on the 16th. That might have been rather sharp practice, but legally such an action would lie. Then there is this proviso [His lordship read the proviso above set out, and continued:] That means if in the meantime every half-yearly payment shall be paid on the day on which it becomes due. It cannot mean anything else. There is no authority to the contrary. I do not think we want any authority to show that "punctually" means punctually on the day fixed. There is no authority that it does not mean that. The money was not paid on the day named, or for several days after. Moreover, no provision at all was made for payment—the mortgagors being a limited company—on the first 15th of August, and there being no payment, and no sign of payment, the mortgagees on the 20th served notice calling in their money, which they were justified in doing. Under the pressure of that notice the mortgagors paid. Can it, then, be right to say that the mortgagees are not entitled to call in the money on the terms of this mortgage? The mortgagors have only themselves to blame if they are put to any inconvenience in consequence of their neglect to discharge their debt according to the tenour of the deed. I think the learned judge has gone a great deal too far in granting this injunction. The appeal must be allowed, with costs here and below.

RIGBY and VAUGHAN WILLIAMS, L.JJ., delivered judgment to the same effect.—COUNSEL, *Bramwell Davis*, Q.C., and *A. J. Allen*; *Warrington*, Q.C., and *C. Church*. SOLICITORS, *Goodale & Hobson*, for *Butterworth, Rose, & Co.*, Swindon; *George B. W. Digby*.

[Reported by R. C. MACKENZIE, Barrister-at-Law.]

LAW STUDENTS' JOURNAL.

COUNCIL OF LEGAL EDUCATION.

As the result of the Hilary Honour and Pass examinations, held at the Middle Temple on the 14th, 15th, 16th, and 17th ult., the Council of Legal Education have made the following awards:

HILARY HONOUR EXAMINATION.

To Andrew H. Withers, Lincoln's-inn, a studentship of 100 guineas a year, tenable for three years; and to Alfred E. W. Hazel, Lincoln's-inn, a special prize of £50 for the best examination in Constitutional Law (English and Colonial) and Legal History; also certificates of honour to Alfred E. W. Hazel, Lincoln's-inn, Michael E. Lange, Lincoln's-inn, and Arthur Stiebel, Inner Temple; and pass certificates to Sydney C. N. Goodman, Gray's-inn, and Maung Tha Gywe, Gray's-inn.

Mr. Hazel would have obtained the studentship had he not been disqualified by age.

PASS CERTIFICATES.

LINCOLN'S-INN.—Hardeo Sahai Agarwala, John H. N. Armstrong, Mohammed Azim, Abdul Aziz, Merwanjee Mancherjee Manwanjee Bhownaggree, Tribhovandas Manekchand Doshi, Walter L. R. G. Everitt, William E. Hollams, Syed Ali Karim, Pandit Bishan Lal Kaul, William K. Lemon, George E. Leon, Dudley F. Nevill, Bhalabhai Bhailalbhai Patel, Jeshingbhai Bhaibabhai Patel, Paul P. Pillai, William R. Southeard, Walter Strachan, Valentine F. Taubman-Goldie, and Mohanlal Jivanlal Vakil.

INNER TEMPLE.—Joseph O. Andrews, Nalina Kanta Banerjee, Arthur A. Barnard, William T. Barneby, John H. Barron, Godfrey R. Benson, James Bradbury, Angus Campbell, Thomas S. A. Canney, Arthur C. Carrara, Kenneth E. Chalmers, George H. Day, George C. Deane, Stuart Downs, Samuel J. Forster, James T. Fox, Arthur G. Frazer, Herbert C. W. Grimshaw, Henry S. Hartnoll, Syed Hasan, Acheson F. Henderson, Frederick T. H. Henlé, the Hon. Anthony M. Henley, Gerard R. Hill, Shivalinga Chambasappa Hosali, George C. Jobling, Gilbert Jordan, Carl W. T. B. Juta, Robert C. Lane, Percy W. F. Le Breton, Ewen R. Logan, Lascelles A. Lucas, John C. B. Luxmoore, Foster M. Mahon, Phiroze Behramji Malabari, Arthur G. Mathews, Robert P. B. Methven, George E. Morris, Harold S. Morris, Nicholas P. A. Murphy, Denis Newman, Frank H. Newnes, Robert C. P. Ramsden, George S. Robertson, William A. Russell, Anthony A. Sanderson, James Stratford, George H. V. Sutherland, George R. Toller, and Rustum Dossabhoy Nusserwanjee Wadia.

MIDDLE TEMPLE.—Frank D. Bird, Alexander Cairns, John B. Davies, Percy G. De Worms, George F. W. L. Dillon, Manilal Motichand Doshi, Charles H. Edwards, Sheikh Ahmad Hussain, Matthew H. S. Josepha, Gwyn Morris, William H. Owen, Ernest W. Perkins, Deep Narayan Singh, and George P. W. Terry.

GRAY'S-INN.—Bijay Kisor Acharyya, Edwin Austin, Ali Akbar Hussanally, Louis J. Madelon, Daniel J. O'Brien, and Mahatab Singh.

The number examined was 122, of whom 90 passed. Six candidates were ordered not to be admitted for examination again until the Trinity examination, 1898.

The "J. J. Powell" (Middle Temple) Prize was awarded to Frank D. Bird, Middle Temple.

The following passed in Constitutional Law and Legal History only:

Lincoln's-inn.—Alfred L. Cohn, Shekoh Sahibzadah Mohamed Dara, Anthony de Freitas, Sam S. Kay, Alan C. Nesbitt, and Chaturbhai Bhailalbhai Patel.

Inner Temple.—Edward G. Bear, Henry R. Bramley, Charles W. Cayzer, Edward H. Chapman, Isaac R. Davies, Richard Feetham, John E. Godfrey, George W. Graham, Alfred A. Hildesheimer, William E. Hirst, Arthur G. Jamieson, Gerald E. Mills, James R. Mitchell, John J. O. Murphy, Jocelyn B. Pelham, Charles J. L. Rudd, E. Strangman, Henry O. K. Webber, and John Wilson.

Middle Temple.—Shaikh Saiduddin Ahmed, Syud Aminuddin Ahmed Asghur, Patrick J. Blair, Samuel E. Bray, Valentine Browne, William A. F. Balfour Browne, Stephen O. Henn Collins, Sir John Keane, Vincent D. Knowles, Philip B. Morle, John Pollen, and Michael P. Walsh.

Gray's-inn.—Surendra Nath Haldar, Ruttilal Brijlal Majmudar, Noel Middleton, Mehar Chand Pebra, Romes Chandra Sen, and Rustamji Kharshedji Tarachand.

Of 62 examined 43 passed. Two candidates were ordered not to be admitted for examination again until the Trinity examination, 1898

The following passed in Roman Law and Constitutional Law and Legal History:

Lincoln's-inn.—Arthur H. Lemon and Jayavant Dinanath Madgavkar.

Inner Temple.—Francis Bradley-Birt, David MacIvor, Andrew J. M'Culloch, and Frederick C. H. C. Sinclair.

Middle Temple.—James D. Pennington.

Gray's-inn.—Charles O. Blagden, Bernard Campion, Shadi Lal, Walter M. Thorburn, and Joseph A. Vaz.

Of 29 examined 12 passed. Two candidates were postponed until the Trinity examination, 1898, and one candidate until the Michaelmas examination, 1898.

The following passed in Roman Law:

Lincoln's-inn.—Merwanji Rustanji Boyce, Everard W. Fichardt, Chen Ivan, Abdul Ghafar Pirzado, Walter W. Renshaw, and Muhammed Abdullah Shah.

Inner Temple.—Kington Baker, Arthur G. Blake, Frederick W. Brambeer, John E. Clauson, William J. Dalzell-Burnyeat, Robert B. Drabble, John P. Foster, Richard B. Murphy, and Pestonjee Sorabjee Patuck.

Middle Temple.—Henry S. B. Buée, Sydney Davey, Thomas Fentem, Robert N. Green-Armytage, Joseph E. Lilley, Malcolm B. Milne, John A. Moore, Julius E. Pitcher, George C. Whiteley, Walter S. Wilkinson, Frederick J. Willis, and Hugo Worthington.

Gray's-inn.—Percy E. Barton, Edward H. Coumbe, Raghubar Dayal, Martin O'Connor, Peter H. Roberts, Charles F. Rorke, Laxmidap Rowji Sapat, Harichand Nathubhai Shah, Carleton S. Smith, and Cullyanji Musanji Thacker.

Of 58 examined 37 passed. Five candidates were postponed until the Trinity examination, 1898, and three candidates until the Michaelmas examination, 1898.

LAW STUDENTS' SOCIETIES.

Law Students' Debating Society.—Jan. 11.—Chairman, Mr. C. Herbert-Smith.—The subject for debate was: "That appointments to Judicial office should not be influenced by political considerations." Mr. Neville Tebbutt opened in the affirmative; and Mr. William Wilde opened in the negative. The following members also spoke: Messrs. G. H. Daniell, C. A. Cohen, J. S. Wilkinson, C. A. Anderson, E. R. Taylor, A. Simon, and A. E. Clarke. The motion was carried by five votes.

LEGAL NEWS.

APPOINTMENTS.

Mr. J. E. Anthony, solicitor, of 19, Lincoln's-inn-fields, W.C., and East Dulwich, has been appointed a Commissioner for Oaths. Mr. Anthony was admitted in 1891.

Messrs. J. & C. Nesbitt, solicitors, of Abergavenny, have been appointed Commissioners to Administer Oaths.

Mr. Macrory, Q.C., has been appointed Chairman of the Board of Examiners for the Admission of Students to the Inns of Court, in succession to Sir Peter Edlin, Q.C.

Mr. Francis John Collinson, barrister, has been appointed Assistant-Judge of her Majesty's Court for Zanzibar.

CHANGES IN PARTNERSHIPS.

Dissolutions.

John Cooper, John Frederick Cooper, and Robert Simmons, solicitors (Cooper, Son, & Simmons), Henley-on-Thames and Caversham. Dec. 31.

Charles Marshall Dix and William Henry Warlow, solicitors (Dix & Warlow), 6, Old Jewry, London. Jan. 5.

Edmund Garratt Wilson and Henry Arthur Pope Genge, solicitors (Wilson & Genge), Norfolk House, Victoria-embankment, London, and at Epsom. Dec. 31. The late partners will in future practise separately, the said Edmund Garratt Wilson at Epsom aforesaid, and the said Henry Arthur Pope Genge at Norfolk House aforesaid.

Thomas Blanco White and Gilbert Henry White, solicitors (White & White), Guildford. Dec. 20. In future such business will be carried on by the said Gilbert Henry White, the said Thomas Blanco White carrying on such business at 59 and 60, Chancery-lane. [*Gazette*, Jan. 7.

Alpheus Henry Robotham, Matthew Attwood, and William Blews Robotham, solicitors (Robotham, Attwood, & Robotham), Derby. So far as regards the said Matthew Attwood, who retires from the firm; the said Alpheus Henry Robotham and William Blews Robotham will continue the said business under the style or firm of Robotham & Co. Jan. 1.

Frederick George and Stowers William Jackson, solicitors, Stratford, and 15, New Broad-street, London. Dec. 31. The business will be henceforth carried on by the said Frederick George alone.

[*Gazette*, Jan. 11.

GENERAL.

Lord Justice A. L. Smith was unable to be present at the opening of the sittings owing to an attack of influenza.

The Lord Chancellor will dine with the treasurer, Lord Davey, and the benchers of Lincoln's-inn on the "Grand Day" of Hilary Term, on Tuesday next.

The *Albany Law Journal* records the retirement of Chief Judge Charles Andrews, of the New York Court of Appeals, after a continuous service covering twenty-seven years. He had completed his term of office by reason of having reached the constitutional age limit of seventy years.

Among those who will be present at the dinner to be given by the North-Eastern Circuit to Mr. Justice Ridley at the Whitehall Rooms, on the 26th inst., are the Lord Chief Justice of England, the Speaker, Lord Justice Henn Collins, Mr. Justice Wright, Mr. Justice Bruce, Mr. Justice Kennedy, and Mr. Justice Bigham.

On the 7th inst. Mr. Henry George Smallman, solicitor, of 3 and 4, Queen-street, Cheapside, who had filled the chair of the City Commission of Sewers for the last year of that ancient body's existence, was, subject to the approval of the Court of Aldermen, elected an alderman of the City of London. Mr. Smallman, in returning thanks, said that, having practically retired from business, he would be able to devote the whole of his time to the work of the aldermanic office.

A Boston paper states that in the New York courts a motion has been made to set aside the verdict of a jury on the ground that the plaintiff's lawyer winked at a juror while making his plea, and the juror winked back at him. The court allowed that this was a serious offence, but it appeared in the course of the evidence introduced on the subject that both the lawyer and the juror were afflicted with a facial trouble that involuntarily caused the muscles of the face to twitch. The court still has the subject under consideration.

An odd case, says the *Globe*, is reported from Lille. A chemist, named Payelle, who weighs 340lb., recently bought a third-class ticket for a railway journey, but found the doors of both the third and the second-class compartments too narrow to admit him. So he squeezed into a first, and refused to pay the excess. The company therefore sued him for the balance of the fare, their contention being that as a first-class compartment alone would receive him, he ought to have booked first-class. The court supported the company, and gave judgment against the chemist.

Mr. Justice Gorell Barnes, says the *Times*, on taking his seat at the commencement of the sittings, said that it might be convenient to mention that, in addition to summonses being in future taken on Saturdays, cases to be heard *in camera* and applications as to the custody of children and other matters of a similar nature might also be taken on that day in Chambers. Probate cases in which merely a "notice to cross-examine" had been given would be taken in court on Mondays in addition to the other business. With regard to the new regulations which had been issued to prevent the overcrowding of this court, they would be strictly enforced. The "new regulations" referred to are as follows, and are posted up in court: "Notice.—1. The passages of this court are to be kept clear, and no persons other than barristers in robes are allowed to remain standing about the court, except behind the last row of seats. 2. The seats in court behind those allotted to counsel are exclusively reserved for persons engaged in the case which is being heard, and, if there be room, for other persons engaged in the remaining cases in the day's list. 3. The daily lists of cases to be heard will be made out in the room of the clerk of the rules. Persons having applications to make to the registrar attending the court, or clerk of the rules, must, as far as possible, make them at the rooms of these officials, and not in court.—By order."

The City Lands Committee of the Corporation have brought up a report to that body on the subject of renewable leases of the City's property. In 1888 the Corporation agreed to a scheme, in relation to the property belonging to the Corporation held on leases renewable for ever, by which lessees were enabled on certain terms to commute the future fines payable by them. The persons interested were duly communicated with, and many had embraced the opportunity thus afforded them of relief from conditions which involved periodical expenses and imperilled the perpetuity of their leases. The lessees on the Conduit Mead Estate were offered under the scheme a choice of two modes of commuting the fines. The first provided for the granting of an eighty years' lease, renewable for a further term of eighty years, and so on for ever, upon the payment of the present value of all future fines payable under existing leases calculated on a 3 per cent. table plus one year's rack-rent of the property. The other alternative provided for the granting of a similar lease for eighty years on payment of an increased annual rental, equivalent to the present

value of the future fines and one year's rack-rent of the property calculated on a 3 per cent. table. The conditions attached to the scheme and affecting renewable leases in the city and the parish of St. Marylebone followed those contained in the first plan, but with the important exception that the lessee in commuting did not have to add one year's rack-rent to the present value of future fines. In view of the fact that the rate of interest now obtained on money invested was very low, the committee had thought it desirable to consider the advisability of increasing, to the advantage of the Corporation, the basis on which the present value of future fines was calculated, and they had had before them actuarial calculations based on the 2½ per cent. table, and felt justified in stating that under existing circumstances that table would be a fair and reasonable one to adopt in the place of the 3 per cent. table hitherto used. They therefore recommended the Corporation to sanction the variation of the scheme agreed to in 1888 and authorize them to adopt the 2½ per cent. table for purposes of calculation in the first of the two alternatives indicated, but not in the second.

COURT PAPERS.

HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE.

CHANCERY DIVISION.

Completion Mr. Justice WRIGHT's List.

Companies (Winding-up). Petitions.

Goodwins, Jardine & Co ld (petn of The Industrial and General Trust ld
Moore Bros & Co ld (petn of Nicholson, Sons, & Daniels)
Gold Reefs of Western Australia ld (petn of G E D Durnford)
Liberty Consolidated Gold Mines ld (petn of C Frey)
North Borneo Prospecting & Cultivation Syndicate ld (petn of W P R Newlands)
British Gold Fields of West Africa ld (petn of C W Cornmell)
Bonara Italian Steel and Tin Plate Manufacturing Co ld (petn of G O A Sommer)
Globe Blocks Mining Co ld (scheme of arrangement) (petn of C J Fauvel and the South African and Australian Exploration & Development Syndicate ld)
Peveril Gold Mines, ld (petn of T S Hopcraft)
London & Paris Finance & Exploration Co, ld (petn of Reservoir Hub & Components Co, ld)
United Empire Trading Co, ld (petn of J G Mellor)
Spiller & Co, ld (petn of W Klingenstein & Co)
Same (petn of W H Lowry & Co)
Wheel Club, ld (petn of H J Grimwade)
West Australian Pastoral & Colonization Co, ld (petn of Land Corpn of West Australia, ld)
Umtoli Gold Reefs Co, ld (petn of R G Bagshawe & ors)
Abercorn Reefs, ld (petn of A Legge & ors)
Hubbard & Co, ld (petn of F Horsall & Co, ld)
North Golden Crown, ld (petn of White Feather Reward Claim, ld)
Oriental Palace of Varieties, ld (petn of Shelley & Co, ld)
United Kingdom Debenture Bank, ld (petn of The Agence Havas)
Prospectors' Assoc, (petn of C Hopkinson & Sons)
Brownfield's Guild Pottery Soc, ld (petn of L Salamon)
Triticine, ld (petn of R Peiravo)
British Cycle Manufacturing Co, ld (petn of Scott's Standard Pneumatic Tyre Co, ld)
Imperial Live Stock Insurance Assoc, ld (petn of the Co)
Williamson & Sons ld (petn of Hudson Cowell)
Same (petn of G Mancklelow & anr)
International Fibre Chamois Co ld (petn of The Monarch Fibre Co)
Blandy's Patents Syndicate ld (petn of the Company)
Liverpool Palace of Varieties ld (petn of Lilly & Lilly ld)
Guelph (Coolgardie) Gold Mine ld (petn of J H Ensor)
British Gold Fields of West Africa ld (petn of J Ingham)
Hythe & District Land Co ld (petn of D Baker)
Keats Bros ld (petn of W E Muntz)
New & Mayne ld (petn of the Company and Liquidator) scheme of arrangement
United States Cheque Bank ld (petn of J D Davies)
International Fibre Chamois Co ld (petn of T B Brown ld)

Chancery Division.

Societe Vinicole de Turquie ld (ptn of Co and shareholders to rescind resolutions)
Manhanset Steamship Co ld & reduced (ptn of Co)
Wrexham Market Hall Co ld (memorandum of association—petn of Co)
Rand Investment Corpn ld & reduced (petn of Co)
Carnarvonshire & Merionethshire Steamship Co ld and reduced (petn of Co)
London Union Land Co ld & reduced (petn of Co for reduction of capital)

Court Summonses. Companies (Winding-up).

Lyric Club ld (to set aside proofs)
Lands Allotment Co ld (taxation of bill)
London and General Bank ld (for leave to make a set off)
General Credit Co, ld (to appoint new liquidator)
Odell ld (on claim)
Private Investors' Assen, ld (on claim)
London Metallurgical Co, ld (on Taxing Master's Report)
Peabody Gold Mining Corpn, ld (as to construction of thirty-ninth Article of Association)
Tyrian Construction Co, ld (for misfeasance)

Chancery Division.

Stubber v T Daniel & Co ld (for sale)
Same v Same (for leave to cross-examine)
Same v Same (declare dividend)
Same v Same (for discovery)

HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE.—QUEEN'S BENCH DIVISION.

HILARY SITTINGS, 1898.

Dates.	Lord Chief Justice.	Hawkins, J.	Matthew, J.	Day, J.	Wills, J.	Grantham, J.	Lawrance, J.	Wright, J.	Bruce, J.	Kennedy, J.	Ridley, J.	Bigham, J.	Darling, J.	Channell, J.	Phillimore, J.	Remarks.
1898. January 11	Banc.	Nisi Prius	Banc. Nisi Prius intervening	Banc.	Nisi Prius	Banc. South Eastern Circuit	Banc.	Nisi Prius Bankruptcy and Company Cases	Banc. Nisi Prius intervening	Nisi Prius Commercial List	Nisi Prius	Nisi Prius Western Circuit	Banc. Nisi Prius intervening	Nisi Prius	Chambers	Mr. Justice Wright will be sitting at Nisi Prius in the event of neither Bankruptcy, Company Cases, nor Railway and Canal Commission work being ready.
12	(Nisi Prius intervening)															
14																
19													Home Circuit			
27																
29		Midland Circuit		Oxford Circuit	Northern Circuit		Cent. Crim. Court		Northern Circuit							
9 February																
17						End	N.E. Circuit				N.E. Circuit					
19																
22						Banc.		(Railway and Canal Commission intervening)				End	End	North Wales Circuit	South Wales Circuit	
23																
24																
25																
26						Cent. Crim. Court										
28																
3 March					End Nisi Prius		End		End Banc.	Oxford Circuit			Banc.			
5																
7																
9																
12			Cent. Crim. Court	End Banc.		Cent. Crim. Court										
15		End Nisi Prius									End				End	
25										End	Nisi Prius				Nisi Prius	
30 April 6	Midland Circuit End Banc.														Chambers	

The Business of the Courts will be taken in accordance with the Judges' Resolutions of May 24, 1894. The Judges named to sit in Divisional Court will, whenever it becomes necessary, sit at Nisi Prius.

HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE.

QUEEN'S BENCH DIVISION.

HILARY SITTINGS, 1898.

SPECIAL PAPER.

For Judgment.

Younghusband v Metropolitan District Railway Co special case

For Argument.

In re an Arbtn between Gubbins & anr and The London and Blackwall Ry Co and The Great Eastern Railway Co s o referred to Arbitrator special case

In re an Arbitn between Spillers & Bakers, ld, and H Leetham & Sons s o for case to be stated in form of award special case

In re an Arbitration between George and The Goldsmiths & General Burglary Insurance Assoc, ld special case

OPPOSED MOTIONS.

For Argument.

Ball v Williams part heard s o for further report

Ward v Plymouth & Stonehouse Gas Light and Coke Co

In re an Arbtn between the Matlock Bath Gas Light & Coke Co, and the Matlock Bath and Scarthin Nick Urban District Council s o referred to Arbitrator

In re a Solicitor, Expte Incorporated Law Soc s o report referred back

In re a Solicitor, Expte Same s o for further report

In re an Arbitration between Barraud and Dawson

Liebes & ors v London and River Plate Bank ld & ors

Baker v Wadsworth

In re a Solicitor, Expte Incorporated Law Soc

Otway v Chatteris

Incandescent Gas Light Co v Ainsworth

In re a Solicitor, Expte Incorporated Law Soc

In re an Arbitration between Bever and Dorling & The Syke Ing Mill Co

Hurd v Rossiter

Chiverton v Chiverton

Myers v Morell

Stedman v Black

In re a Solicitor (In re Solicitors Act, 1888)

In re John Bird Howard, an unqualified person, Expte Incorporated Law Soc

In re a Solicitor, Expte Incorporated Law Soc

In re a Solicitor, Expte Same

In re a Solicitor, Expte Same

In re a Solicitor, Expte Same

CROWN PAPER.

For Argument.

Lancashire, Colne Foulds v Garnett county court dft's app

Pembrokeshire The Queen v Mayor, &c, of Pembroke, Expte Local Government Board Nisi for mandamus to obey order of Local Government Board

Yorkshire, Huddersfield Peace v Senior & Sons county court plt's app

Essex The Queen v Commrs of Sewers for Havering, &c (expte London County Council) nisi for certiorari for presentment and order and rate

Sussex, Lewes Carter v Clarke & Co & ors county court dfts, London, Antwerp, &c Co ld's app

Lancashire, Blackpool Barrett v Haigh & anr county court plt's app

Burnley Burnley Equitable Co-operative & Industrial Soc ld v Pickles magistrate's case

Sussex, Lewes Carter v Clarke & Co & ors county court dfts, Stephenson, Clarke, & Co's app

London Godwin & anr v Hart county court plt's app

Glamorganshire, Swansea Jones v Demery county court plt's app

Same Same v Same county court Mary Jones & ors' app

Same Davies v Usher & Co county court plt's app

Hyde Maloney & ors v Lingard quarter sessions special case respt's app

Margate Farndale v Bainbridge & anr magistrate's case

Southampton Coates v McCaul magistrate's case

Cornwall, Launceston Simcoe v Pethick county court dft's app

Yorkshire, Knaresborough Oxley v Atkinson & anr county court dft's app

Dorsetshire Pethick & ors v Dorset County Council magistrate's case

Lancashire McLean v Monks magistrate's case

Yorkshire, Knaresborough Waite v Imeson county court plt's app

Yorkshire, W R Buckley v Hanson magistrate's case

Cardiganshire Davies v Griffiths & ors quarter sessions special case applicant's app

Devonshire, Honiton Wakeham v Bussell & anr county court dfts' app

Glamorganshire Bridgend Council v Lloyd & ors magistrate's case

Merionethshire Jones v Davies magistrate's case

Nottinghamshire Gayford v Chouler magistrate's case

London Hardy v Coolgardie, &c, Co mayor's court dfts' app

Surrey, Redhill Bartlett v Mears & anr county court dft M A Box's app

Same Same v Pearson & anr county court dft M A Box's app

Lancashire, Manchester Ashworth v Wells county court plt's app

Dorsetshire Bullen v Wakely magistrate's case

Middlesex, Shoreditch Lardner v Birkbeck Building Soc county court plt's app

Kent, Greenwich Reynolds & ors v Holloway county court dft's app

Lancashire The Queen v Leigh Council (expte Tyldesley Council) nisi for mandamus to pay judgt debt

Surrey Rhodes & anr v Weybridge District Council (Ward's app) magistrate's case

Yorkshire, Todmorden Sutcliffe v Sutcliffe county court plt's app

Warwickshire, Birmingham Burrow v Tilson & anr county court plt's app

Liverpool Ross v Taylerson magistrate's case

London Bromley, Sam. & Co v Finch county court plts' app

Ramsgate Millard v Wastall magistrate's case

Lancashire Dawson v Cocker magistrate's case

Devonshire, Honiton Brune v James county court dft's app

Carnarvonshire, Bangor Williams' Trustees v Williams county court plts' app

Yorkshire, Huddersfield Hinchcliffe v Sharpe county court dft's app

Middlesex, Clerkenwell French v Bromet county court dft's app

Derbyshire, Derby Mitchell v Appleby (McDonald, clmt) county court plt's app

Surrey, Lambeth Turner v White & Son & anr county court plt's app

Surrey, Wandsworth Gibbs v Francombe (Horsman, clmt) High Bailiff of Wandsworth County Court, respt county court High Bailiff's app

Cornwall, Truro Re The New Par Consols (C Gregory's app) county court

London Stokes v Harris county court plt's app

Durham The Queen v F F Sheppee & anr, Esqs, Jj, & Wilson (expte Webb) nisi to hear information

Same The Queen v Rev R Taylor & anr, Jj, & Laidler (expte Vogwill) nisi for certiorari for order

Yorkshire, Skipton Bendall v Lofthouse county court plt's app

Kent, Greenwich Howland v South Metropolitan Gas Co county court dfts' app

Surrey, Wandsworth Reeves v Wandsworth Flock Co county court plt's app

Yorkshire, W R The Queen v W F Tempest & anr, Esqs, Jj, & Cutts (expte Townend) nisi to justices to state case

Glamorganshire, Cardiff Mordey, Carney, & Co v Steward SS Co county court plts' app and dfts' cross app

Middlesex, Marylebone Mitchell v White & Co county court plt's app

Manchester Rogers v Manchester Packing Co magistrate's case

London May v Lee county court dft's app

Leicestershire Twigdon v Shillcock magistrate's case

Southampton The Queen v Hon E W Douglas & ors, Esqs, Jj, and anr nisi for certiorari for conviction v Walker

Surrey, Lambeth Lumsden v Burnett county court dft's app

Sussex The Queen v Lyon & ors, Jj (expte Skinner) nisi for mandamus to hear application

Middlesex, Edmonton Hume v Imperial Lager Brewery Co county court dfts' app

Middlesex, Bloomsbury Carr & anr v London & North-Western Ry Co county court plts' app

Gloucestershire, Dursley Terrett v Hill & Sons county court dfts' app

Gloucestershire, Dursley Godfrey v Hill & Sons county court dfts' app

Middlesex, Clerkenwell Edwards v Parton county court dft's app

Kent, Tonbridge Wells Stewart & ors v Ellis & ors county court dfts' app

London Curtice v Sheen Mayor's court plt's app

Kent Brownscombe v Johnson magistrate's case

Kent Kruse v Johnson magistrate's case

Herefordshire The Queen v Bateman, Lord of the Manor of Kingsland & his Steward (expte Page & anr) Nisi for mandamus to admit to copyhold

Buckinghamshire, Buckingham Mander v Ridgway & anr county court dfts' app

Carmarthenshire, Llanelly Harries v Watkeys & ors county court plt's app

Yorkshire, E R Colbeck v Ashford magistrate's case

Somersetshire The Ecclesiastical Commrs for England & ors v Overseers of the Parish of Huish Episcopi magistrate's case

Middlesex, Westminster Sawyer v Taylor county court dft's app

Southport The Queen v Cookshott, Esq, & ors, Jj, &c. and anr (expte Rickerby) Nisi for certiorari for conviction v J Rickerby

London Salter v Borgzimmer Bros county court dfts' app

Somersetshire Knight v The Langport District Drainage Board quarter sessions special case respt's app

Yorkshire, Huddersfield The London & North-Western Ry Co v Lumb county court dft's app

Staffordshire Jones v Walters magistrate's case

London Holcomb Valley Co v Galbraith mayor's court plts' app

Cornwall, Truro Pike & Son v Meikle county court plts' app

Lancashire Farley v Higginbotham magistrate's case

Surrey, Southwark Russell v Hales county court plts' app

Burnley The Queen v The Mayor &c of Burnley (expte Shutt) nisi for mandamus to delare a back street a highway

Surrey, Guildford and Godalming Rushbrooke & anr v Burchett & ors county court dfts' app

Yorkshire, W R Denaby, &c Collieries Co v Fenton magistrate's case

Surrey, Lambeth Day v Bygrave (Ponsford, clmt) county court plt's app

Essex The Queen v Howard & ors, Esqs, jj & anr (expte March) nisi to justices to state case
Middlesex, Brompton Payne v Grattan
Northamptonshire The Queen v Sharman & ors, Esqs, jj & ors (expte Denton)
Cheshire Salt Union v Northwich Salt Compensation Board
Richmond Coppen v Moore
Gloucestershire, Bristol Morley v Gunn
Devonshire Hill v Crediton District Council
Lancashire, Chorley London & North-Western Ry Co v Swann & Co

REVENUE PAPER.

For Hearing.

Causes by English Information.

Attorney-Gen and Earl Cowley
Attorney-Gen and The Duke of Westminster & ors

Petition.

Re The Royal College of Surgeons

SUPREME COURT OF JUDICATURE.

ROTA OF REGISTRARS IN ATTENDANCE ON

Date.	APPEAL COURT No. 2.	Mr. Justice NORTH.	Mr. Justice STIRLING.
Monday, Jan. 17	Mr. Ward	Mr. Bolt	Mr. Farmer
Tuesday 18	Pemberton	Godfrey	King
Wednesday....19	Ward	Bolt	Farmer
Thursday 20	Pemberton	Godfrey	King
Friday 21	Ward	Bolt	Farmer
Saturday 22	Pemberton	Godfrey	King
	Mr. Justice KEKEWICH.	Mr. Justice ROMER.	Mr. Justice BYRNE.
Monday, Jan. 17	Mr. Pugh	Mr. Jackson	Mr. Beal
Tuesday 18	Lavie	Carrington	Leach
Wednesday 19	Pugh	Jackson	Beal
Thursday 20	Lavie	Carrington	Leach
Friday 21	Pugh	Jackson	Beal
Saturday 22	Lavie	Carrington	Leach

Tenders for £1,500,000 New South Wales Government Three per Cent. Stock were opened on Tuesday at the Bank of England. The applications amounted to £3,908,400. The average price obtained for the stock is £100 8s. 4d.

FOR THROAT IRRITATION AND COUGH.—"Epps's Glycerine Jujubes" always prove effective. They soften and clear the voice, and are invaluable to all suffering from cough, soreness, or dryness of the throat. Sold only in labelled tins, price 7½d. and 1s. 1½d.—James Epps & Co., Ltd., Homœopathic Chemists, London.—[ADVT.]

WARNING TO INTENDING HOUSE PURCHASERS AND LESSEES.—Before purchasing or renting a house, have the Sanitary Arrangements thoroughly Examined, Tested, and Reported Upon by an Expert from Messrs. Carter Bros., 65, Victoria-street, Westminster. Fee quoted on receipt of full particulars. (Established 21 years.)—[ADVT.]

THE PROPERTY MART.

SALES OF ENSUING WEEK.

Jan. 19.—Messrs. EDWIN FOX & BOUSFIELD, at the Mart, at 2 p.m., Freehold Ground-rent of £2,200 per annum, secured upon important properties in the best part of Piccadilly, producing about £6,000 a year. Solicitors, Messrs. Lewis & Sons, London. Freehold Ground-rents amounting to £1,200 per annum, secured upon important property in the City of London, of the rack-rent value of £2,700. Solicitors, Messrs. Poole & Robinson, London. Life Policy for £500, with profits, gentleman aged 29, payable on the assured attaining the age of 35 or at death. Solicitors, Messrs. Hollest, Mason, & Nash, of Farnham. (See advertisements, this week, p. 3.)

Jan. 19.—Messrs. H. E. FOSTER & CRANFIELD, at the Mart, at 2 p.m., Leasehold Property at Fulham, of rental value of £65 per annum. Solicitors, Messrs. P. E. Vanderpump & Eve, London. Engineering Premises at Baron-street, Pentonville-road, with Dwelling-house, producing in all nearly £500 per annum; the sale also includes the Goodwill of Business. Solicitor, E. N. Beningfield, Esq., London. Investments in Brompton, Fulham, Battersea, and Marylebone, as per particulars. Solicitors, Messrs. Oldman, Clabburn, & Co., London. (See advertisements, this week, back page.)

Jan 20.—Messrs. H. E. FOSTER & CRANFIELD, at the Mart, at 2 p.m.:

REVERSIONS:

To a Trust Fund, Bank, Railway, and other Stocks, value over £4,000; lady aged 59. Solicitors, Messrs. Dobbs & Hill, and A. H. Halford, Esq., all of Worcester.

To Two One-tenth Shares of a Trust Fund, value £6,137, receivable on the decease of a lady and gentleman aged 53 and 59, provided lady aged 33 and gentleman aged 31 survive them; with policy. Solicitor, Herbert A. Phillips, Esq., London.

To £6,500, being first charge upon Trust Fund value £10,750, on mortgage; gentleman aged 57. Solicitor, H. Stanley-Jones, Esq., London.

To One-fourth of a Trust Estate value £65,000; two ladies aged 60 and 67, provided lady aged 65 predecease one of them and gentleman aged 26 survives the first two ladies; with policy. Solicitor, H. Stanley-JONES, Esq., London.

To One-third of Legacies of £4,000 and £500 (subject to a payment of £850); lady aged 63. Solicitors, Messrs. Sheffield, Son, & Powell, London.

To One-fifth of a Trust Estate producing £145 per annum and £200 cash; lady aged 53. Solicitors, Messrs. Douglas Norman & Co., London.

To One-fourth of £5,480 Leeds 3 per cent. Stock (subject to a payment of £800); lady aged 54. Solicitors, Messrs. Douglas Norman & Co., London.

To One-sixth of £7,283 2½ per cent. Consols and Property in Portsea producing £60 per annum; lady aged 49. Solicitor, A. P. Rodyk, Esq., London.

To £250 Trust Estate; lady aged 61. Solicitor, A. P. Rodyk, Esq., London.

To Two One-seventh Shares of Property at Chelmsford, producing £40 per annum; and at New Cross, value £45 per annum; lady aged 60. Solicitors, Messrs. Keighley, Arnold, & Bisney, London.

POLICIES:

For £1,000, £1,000, £1,000. Solicitors, Messrs. Kite & Broomhead, Taunton. (See advertisements, this week, back page.)

WINDING UP NOTICES.

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, Jan. 7.

JOINT STOCK COMPANIES.

LIMITED IN CHANCERY.

COVENTRY ELECTRIC TRAMWAYS, LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Feb 21, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Edward Thomas Peirson, 17, Hertford st, Coventry

COVENTRY STAMPING CO, LIMITED (IN LIQUIDATION)—Creditors are required, on or before Feb 19, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to William Harry Ward, 19, Newhall st, Birmingham. Beale & Co, Birmingham, solors to liquidator

GREAT TALUNGA GOLD MINE, LIMITED—Petn for winding up, presented Jan 3, directed to be heard Jan 19. Burgoyne & Co, 77, Gresham st, solors for petner. Notice of appearing must reach the above-named not later than 6 o'clock in the afternoon of Jan 18

"GRIMSBY" STEAMSHIP CO, LIMITED (IN LIQUIDATION)—Creditors are required, on or before Feb 14, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to O. B. Cuvilje, 2, Stuart st, Bute Docks, Cardiff

HULL AND DISTRICT SOLICITORS' LAW STATIONERY SOCIETY, LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Feb 21, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to James DIXON, 2, County bldgs, Kingston upon Hull

JAMES HOWGATE & SONS, LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Feb 14, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to William Henry Armitage, Market place, Dewsbury

LIVERPOOL PALACE OF VARIETIES, LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Feb 1, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Arthur Goddard, St George's House, Eastcheap

MENZIES GOLDEN RHINE GOLD MINES (W.A.), LIMITED—Petn for winding up, presented Jan 3, directed to be heard on Jan 19 Wm. Slark & Co, Southampton st, Strand, solors for petnr Notice of appearing must reach the above-named not later than 6 o'clock in the afternoon of Jan 18

PEVERIL GOLD MINES, LIMITED—Petn for winding up, presented July 21, and amended Dec 21, directed to be heard on Jan 19 Robinson & Stannard, 19, Eastcheap, solors for petnr Notice of appearing must reach the above-named not later than 6 o'clock in the afternoon of Jan 18

RAYNES PARK GOLF CLUB, LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Feb 16, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Mr Charles George Kistner, 56, Woodstock rd, Finsbury pk Jenkins & Co, Fenchurch st, solors for the liquidator

RICHARD MARSDEN & CO, LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Monday, Feb 14, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to William Henry Armitage, Market place, Dewsbury

SOUTH AUSTRALIAN PETROLEUM FIELDS, LIMITED—Petn for winding up, presented Jan 4, directed to be heard on Jan 19. Lattey & Hart, 16, Devonshire sq, Bishopsgate, solors for petner. Notice of appearing must reach the above-named not later than 6 o'clock in the afternoon of Jan 18

London Gazette.—TUESDAY, Jan. 11.

JOINT STOCK COMPANIES.

LIMITED IN CHANCERY.

CANADIAN AUSTRALIAN ROYAL MAIL STEAMSHIP CO, LIMITED—Petn for winding up, presented Jan 8, directed to be heard on Jan 19 Lynn & Holman, 5 & 6, Great Winchester st, solors for petners. Notice of appearing must reach the above-named not later than 6 o'clock in the afternoon of Jan 18

CENTRAL KLONDYKE GOLD MINING AND TRADING CO, LIMITED—Petn for winding up, presented Jan 5, directed to be heard on Jan 19. Foakes, 2, Finsbury circus, solor for petner. Notice of appearing must reach the above-named not later than 6 o'clock in the afternoon of Jan 18

CHESTERFIELD SANITARY STEAM LAUNDRY CO, LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Tuesday, Feb 1, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Mr Samuel Edward Short, 31, Gluman gate, Chesterfield. Jones & Middleton, Chesterfield, solors for the liquidator

FIRST FIND CONSOLIDATED GOLD MINES (BULLA BULLING), LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Feb 17, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to William Fenton Pugh, 11, Queen Victoria st. Parker & Co, St Michael's Rectory, Cornhill, solors to the liquidator

HOGARTH CLUB, LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Jan 25, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their claims, to E M Wimperis, 44, Piccadilly

MORTGAGE AND SECURITIES CO, LIMITED—Petn for winding up, presented Jan 5, directed to be heard on Jan 19. Armitage & Chapple, 18, Bishopsgate st Within, solors for petners. Notice of appearing must reach the above-named not later than 6 o'clock in the afternoon of Jan 18

NEW CHARTERS TOWERS GOLD MINES, LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Feb 23, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to William Vincent, 20 and 21, Laurence Pountney lane

OLDHAM COUNTY FOOTBALL AND ATHLETIC CO, LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Feb 28, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to John George Mellodew, 89, Union st, Oldham. Mellor, Oldham, solor for liquidator

RAILWAY AND INDUSTRIAL SYNDICATE, LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Feb 10, to send in the particulars of their debts, claims, or demands, to Frank Faulkner, care of Robert N. Elder, 10, Drapers' gdns, solor

STANDARD PRINTING, PUBLISHING, AND NEWSPAPER CO, LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before March 1, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to John Daniel Brown, Reydon, Torquay

STEEL COIL ARMOURED RUBBER TYRE SYNDICATE, LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Feb 18, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to William Dearden, 60, King st, Manchester. Boardman, Manchester, solor to liquidator

UNITED KINGDOM OIL AND MEAL CO, LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before March 7, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to William Dixon Theaker, Exchange bldgs, Bowlalley lane, Kingston on Hull. Jacobs & Dixon, Hull, solors to liquidator

WILLIAM BAKER, LIMITED (IN LIQUIDATION)—Creditors are required, on or before Feb 19, to send their names and addresses, with full particulars of their debts or claims, to Charles Ernest Bullock, Albion st, Hanley

FRIENDLY SOCIETIES DISSOLVED.

CHARLTON SELF-HELP SOCIETY, Charlton, Kent. Jan 5

CHESHIRE PIONEER LODGE, Druids Friendly Society, Imperial Hotel, Crewe, Chester, Jan 5

FRIENDLY BROTHERS SICK AND PROVIDENT FRIENDLY BENEFIT SOCIETY, Fawcett Liberal Club, Middlesex. Jan 5

LAYLOCK LODGE, Free Gardeners Society, Woodside, Dudley, Worcester. Jan 5

SCHOOL LANE UNION SICK SOCIETY, Pear Tree Inn, Lancaster. Jan 5

SOUTHWARK UNITED BROTHERS SICK AND BURIAL FUND FRIENDLY SOCIETY, 148, Southwark Bridge rd. Jan 5

ST OSYTH FRIENDLY SOCIETY, St Osyth, Essex. Jan 5

WIDOW AND ORPHANS PROTECTION FRIENDLY SOCIETY, Odd Fellows, 122, Mansfield rd, Nottingham. Jan 5

CREDITORS' NOTICES.

UNDER ESTATES IN CHANCERY.

LAST DAY OF CLAIM.

London Gazette.—TUESDAY, Dec. 21.

GOSLING, THOMAS, Old Whittington, Derby, House Furnisher Jan 31 Phillips & Son v Gosling, Stirling, J Barker, Sheffield

REEVE, ALEXANDER ROBERT, Strand bldgs, Decorative Painter Jan 27 Reeve v Reeve, Romer, J Smiles & Co, Bedford row

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, Dec. 24.

FROST, ALFRED, Hull, Oil and Colour Merchant Jan 31 Holmes v Frost, Kekewich, J Turner, Beverley

HINCHCLIFFE, MATTHEW, Dewsbury, Doctor of Medicine Jan 31 Kirk v Borough of Dewsbury, North, J Chadwick, Dewsbury

JONES, WILLIAM STEPHENS, Malmesbury, Wilts, Solicitor Feb 4 Sainsbury v Walker, Stirling, J Robbins, Surrey House, Victoria Embankment

London Gazette.—TUESDAY, Dec. 28.

CHRISTOPHERS, JOHN CROWCH, Westgate terr, South Kensington, F.R.C.S. Feb 1 Christophers v Christophers, Stirling, J Norris & Son, Gray's inn

REES, WILLIAM CAREY, Sutton Benger, Wilts, Farmer Feb 1 Harris v Rees, North, J Burbidge, Chippenham

London Gazette.—TUESDAY, Jan. 11.

INGHAM, SARAH ANN, Blackburn, Lancs. Feb 1. Withers v Ingham, Registrar, Preston. Withers, Blackburn

UNDER 22 & 23 VICT. CAP. 35.

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, Dec. 31.

BACK, MARY, Ashford, Kent Jan 17 Hallett & Co, Ashford

BARNETT, GEORGE WHYTOCK, Peckham Jan 31 Becher, Bedford row

BORRDANE, ISAAC, Sheffield, Shell Fish Merchant Feb 28 Porrett & Fawcett, Sheffield

BRADSHAW, JAMES, Muswell hill, Agent Feb 1 Mills & Co, Queen Victoria st

CARR, ANDREW MARK, Oxford st Jan 31 Lord & Parker, Worcester

CHESTER, MARTHA ANN, Barnsley, York Jan 31 Carrington, Barnsley

COLE, WILLIAM, Snaresbrook, Essex Feb 14 Hubbard & Co, Cannon st

COLT, GEORGE FRANCIS WALTER RICHARD, Llanyre, Radnor March 1 Vaughan, Builth

DEVENISH, DAVID, Acton Feb 15 Guillaume & Sons, Salisbury sq

FORD, ROBERT, Ivybridge, Devon Jan 25 Woollcombe & Son, Plymouth

FOREMAN, CHARLES, Headcorn, Kent, Corn Merchant Jan 29 Kingsford & Drake, Ashford

GUTHRIE, ELIZABETH, Leamington Jan 31 Cottrell & Son, Birmingham

HURRELL, SWANN, St Leonard's on Sea Feb 10 Eaden & Spearing, Cambridge

LEAF, CHARLES JOHN, Tunbridge Wells Feb 19 C W Dommett & Son, Gresham st

LEWIS, HENRY, Ipsley, Warwick, Needle Manufacturer Jan 28 Tunbridge, Redditch

MACKAY, WILLIAM STUART, Liverpool Feb 15 Norton & Seddon Smith, Liverpool

MURPHY, MICHAEL, Ferry Barry, Stafford Jan 31 O'Connor, Birmingham

OSBORN, SARAH ANN, Walworth Feb 15 Webster & Webster, Lincoln's inn fields

PARRITT, CHARLES, Wolston, Warwick, Farmer Feb 26 Kirby & Sons, Coventry

PARTINGTON, The Rev CHARLES EDWARD, M.A., Rusholme, nr Manchester Jan 31 Turner & Co, Carey st

PENDLETON, WILLIAM, Eckington, Derby, Labourer Feb 28 Stanton & Walker, Chesterfield

PETERS, EDMUND STEPHEN PHILLIMORE, Bristol Jan 31 Barry & Harris, Bristol

PRERCE, ARTHUR PETER, Lingen, Hereford, Grocer Jan 31 O'Connor, Birmingham

PRESTON, FRANCES, Melton Mowbray, Leicester Feb 1 Fowler & Langley, Wolverhampton

REILLY, THEODOSIA HARRIET, Sandgate, Kent Feb 12 Lawrence Graham & Co, New sq, Lincoln's inn

RICHARDS, JAMES, Kingston upon Hull Feb 18 Middlemiss & Pearse, Kingston upon Hull

ROE, SARAH JANE DINHAM, Revelstoke, Devon Feb 1 Coode & Co, St Austell

SEAWELL, PENELOPE SOPHIA, Bentley, Hants Jan 31 Jackson, Farnham

TUNKS, ANNIE MARIA, Sydenham Feb 8 Laundy & Co, Strand

TUNSTALL, ELIZABETH, Wellington, Hereford Feb 2 Humfrys, Hereford

TUNSTALL, MARY, Wellington, Hereford Feb 2 Humfrys, Hereford

WILLIAMS, ANNE, Cheltenham, Glos Feb 21 Davis & Lloyd, Newport, Mon

London Gazette.—TUESDAY, Jan. 4.

BAXTER, HENRY ROBERT, Poplar Jan 31 Colyer & Colyer, Wych st, Strand

BENTLIF, SAMUEL, Maidstone March 31 King & Hughes, Maidstone

BROOKE, JAMES, South Elmsall, York, Farmer Feb 5 Scholefield, Hemsworth

BROWNE, MARY ELIZA, Exeter Feb 15 Pring, Exeter

COLE, ANN, Bristol Feb 19 Broad & Francis, Bristol

DELF, SAMUEL NEWTON, Topcroft, Norfolk, Farmer Feb 1 Stevens & Co, Norwich

DE SMIDT, HENRY, Kensington Feb 1 Morgan & Co, Old Broad st

ELWALL, ROSA, Notting Hill Feb 1 Wakefield & Co, Bloomsbury sq

FAIR, THOMAS CONROY, Derby Feb 21 Cunliffes & Davenport, Chancery lane

GRANT, JOHN, Bristol Feb 10 Jefferies, Bristol

HANCOCK, HENRY, Sheffield March 25 Rodgers & Co, Sheffield

HEYWOOD, JANE, Kensington Palace gdns Feb 5 Bridges & Co, Red Lion sq

HOAD, WILLIAM, Gloucester Feb 28 W & C H Jessop, Cheltenham

HUBY, CAROLINE, Deptford Feb 1 Moon & Co, Lincoln's inn fields

HUGHES, Rev JOSEPH, Cwmdu, Brecknock Feb 1 Belcher, Cardiff

HURRELL, SWANN, St Leonard's on Sea Feb 10 Eaden & Spearing, Cambridge

MCKINSTRY, Major ALEXANDER CHARLES EMMANUEL, Bangor, N Wales Jan 31 Casley & Co, Bangor

MOULES, GEORGE BRACKENBURY, Sydney, New South Wales, Electrical Engineer Feb 1 Ewer & Neave, Philpot lane

MURPHY, WILLIAM HENRY, Huntington, Brewer Feb 17 Tebbs, Bedford

RAMSAY, Hon. ROBERT ANDERSON, Ryder st, St James Feb 5 Martineau & Rust, Raymond bldgs

RAMSBOTTOM, JOHN, Chester Feb 15 Hall & Co, Manchester

PARKES, JOSEPH, Liverpool Jan 31 Tyrer & Co, Liverpool

PAULET, CHARLES WILLIAM, Wellesbourne, Warwick Feb 1 Hemsley & Hemsley, Albany court yard, Piccadilly

PEET, JANE, Wigton, Cumberland Jan 12 Hetherington, Wigton

PEPPER, MARY, Moseley, Worcester Jan 31 F H Pepper & Tangye, Birmingham

SIMS, FRANCES, Clapham Feb 5 Rowell & Lomas, Rickmansworth

SMITH, JOSEPH, Sheffield Feb 10 Smith & Co, Sheffield

STENSON, JOHN HENRY, Sutton, Surrey Feb 1 Lawrence & Co, New sq

SUGDEN, The Rev JOHN, B.A., Bournemouth Feb 14 Gard & Co, Gresham bldgs

THOMAS, JOSIAH, Clifton, Bristol, Architect March 1 Bobbett Bros, Bristol

WELLS, GEORGE GRAHAM, Barcelona, Spain, Telegraph Clerk Feb 1 Blunt & Co, Gresham st

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, Jan. 7.

BARRATT, CHARLES WILKINSON, South Kensington Jan 31 Torr & Co, Bedford row

BEESLEY, WILLIAM, Prescot, Lancs, Traveller Feb 21 Parkinson, Liverpool

BIDDLECOMBE, ANNE, Beaulieu, Hants Feb 21 Moore & Co, Lymington

BIRCH, THOMAS CLARKSON, Surbiton, Surrey Feb 21 Bailey & Co, Berners st

BOLTON, JAMES, Manchester, Baker Jan 31 Harwood, Manchester

BOYLE, WILLIAM, Blackburn Feb 25 Wilding & Son, Blackburn

BRIMAGE, WILLIAM JAMES, Camden rd Feb 15 Carr & Scott, High Holborn

CAUKILL, THOMAS, Hemingbrough, York, Yeoman April 6 Parker & Parker, Selby

CLEGG, SARAH ANN, Chorlton on Medlock, Manchester Feb 10 Cobbett & Co, Manchester

CORTTON, JOHN, Essex ct, Temple, Barrister Feb 10 Eugene Goddard & Aldridge, Old Serjeants' inn

COTTON, ARTHUR WILLIAM, Liphook, Hants, Captain Prideaux & Sons, Goldsmiths' Hall

CROWTHER, JAMES FIRTH, Mirfield, York, Maltster Feb 15 Wilson, Mirfield

DENT, ANNE, Woodbridge Feb 4 Wood, Woodbridge

DEWES, EDWARD, MD, Bournemouth Feb 14 Dewes & Brock Harris, Nuneaton

DURHAM, MARY, Masham, York Jan 21 Edmundson & Gowland, Masham

EHRHARDT, WILLIAM, Birmingham, Watch Manufacturer Feb 19 Pinsent & Co, Birmingham

FLETCHER, CAROLINE, East Stonehouse, Devon Jan 31 Rodd, East Stonehouse

FOSTER, MARY HOWARD, Museum chmbrs, Bury st Feb 24 Baker & Co, Newton Abbot, Devon

FOX, JOHN, Derby March 1 Gadsby & Co, Derby

GERARD, EDWIN, Hampstead Feb 15 Rawlins, Lombard st

HAGE, ELIZA, Newark upon Trent Feb 25 Norlege & Co, Newark

HARRISON, EMMA, Gt Mongeham, Kent Feb 7 Brown & Brown, Deal

HEATON, ELIZABETH Feb 1 Swainson & Co, Lancaster

HILL, ELIZABETH, Bristol Feb 7 Jacques & Co, Bristol

HILL, JOHN, Bristol, Tailor Feb 7 Jacques & Co, Bristol

HINDLEY, SARAH ISABEL, Kensington Feb 12 Smith, Liverpool

HORTON, JESSIE ST AGATHA, Worthing March 1 Beaumont & Son, Lincoln's inn fields

HOWE, HUMPHREY, Greetland, York, Coal Merchant Feb 8 Marshall, Greet'and

KEANE, SUSAN, Blackheath Feb 10 Gery, Vere st, Oxford st

LEIGH, ELIZABETH, Yarmouth, I of W Feb 21 Moore & Co, Lymington

MOODY, MATILDA, York Feb 10 England & Son, Goole

OLLIVIER, ROBT WILBY, Wandsworth Feb 19 Paines & Co, St Helen's pl

OSTLE, JOHN, Warrington, Beerhouse Keeper Feb 16 A Browne, Warrington

PACKWOOD, SUSANNAH, Ombersley, Worcester Feb 8 Campbell & March, Worcester

ROLLINS, WILLIAM, Cardiff, Feb 12 Jones, Cardiff

ROTHSCHILD, OTTO, Bloomsbury Feb 15 Marcus & Barraclough, Broad st avnue

SAVILE, The Hon and Rev PHILIP YORKE, Methley, York Feb 7 Farrer & Co, Lincoln's inn fields

SCHRADER, Baron CARL ERNST ADOLPH VON, Berlin Feb 9 Goldberg & Co, West st, Finsbury circus

SCHOFIELD, JOSEPH, Chester Jan 28 Hervey & Co, Hyde

SEYMOUR, GEORGE, St Helen's pl, Civil Engineer Feb 5 Ramsden & Co, Leadenhall st

SEYMOUR, LAURA SELINA, Winchester Feb 15 Ramsden & Co, Leadenhall st

SHAW, GEORGE JOHN, Gray's inn sq Feb 15 Hollest & Co, Farnham

SPEEDY, JESSIE, Southampton Feb 19 Sharp & Brain, Southampton

STEVENS, THOMAS, jun, Swanage, Dorset, Farmer Feb 26 Slade, Swanage

SWANSON, HENRY, Cape Town, Cape Colony March 4 Robins & Co, Lincoln's inn fields

TABUTEAU, ANTHONY OLIVER, Bathealton, Somerset, Lieutenant Col Feb 5 Shepherd, Finsbury circus

TAYLOR, MARIA, Bath Feb 7 Baker & Co, Cannon st

TRIMBLE, JAMES, Bradford, Surgeon Feb 1 Richardson, Bradford

WHEELWRIGHT, MARY HARRIET, Halifax Feb 19 Crossley, Halifax

WHITEHEAD, SAMUEL PRESTON, Lytham, Lancs Feb 17 Samuel & Co, Bury

WOODS, JOHN, Woodbridge, Suffolk, Nurseryman Feb 5 Welton, Woodbridge

WORSLEY, Sir WILLIAM CAYLEY, Hovingham Hall, York, Bart March 1 Hugh W & J Pearson, Malton

WYATT, CHARLES, Fulham Jan 18 Loxdale & Co, South Kensington

BANKRUPTCY NOTICES.

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, Jan. 7.

RECEIVING ORDERS.

BAIRD, WALTER, Chester, Tobacconist Chester Pet Jan 4 Ord Jan 4

BARRATT, ANN MARIA, Hereford, Licensed Victualler Hereford Pet Jan 4 Ord Jan 4

BENARRELL, HENRY, Whittlesey, Cambridge, Labourer Peterborough Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 3

BLANKS, SUSAN, Shoreditch High Court Pet Jan 4 Ord Jan 4

BLEASDALE, MARY, Burnley, Confectioner Burnley Pet Jan 5 Ord Jan 5

BULLOCK, ROBERT GEORGE, Kentish Town, Cab Proprietor High Court Pet Dec 16 Ord Jan 4

CLEAVER, MARTYR, Rochester, Farm Bailiff Maidstone Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 3

CULLIMORE, CHARLES EDWARD, Taynton, Glos, Farmer Gloucester Pet Jan 1 Ord Jan 1

DANIELS, THOMAS WILLIAM, Southreppe, Norfolk, Carpenter Norwich Pet Jan 4 Ord Jan 4

DAVIES, ANNIE, Morriston, Glam, Grocer Swansea Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 3

DAVIES, EVAN, Caio, Carmarthen, Farmer Carmarthen Pet Jan 4 Ord Jan 5

DE BERNALES, EDGAR A, York bldgs, Adelphi, Editor High Court Pet Nov 25 Ord Jan 4

ELLIS, MORGAN LESLIE, Gloucester, Builder Gloucester Pet Jan 1 Ord Jan 1

FOSTER, JAMES, Bolton, Spindle Maker Bolton Pet Jan 4 Ord Jan 4

FURSE, JOSIAH, Mevagissey, Cornwall, Fishcrman Truro Pet Jan 5 Ord Jan 5

GARWOOD, CHARLES THOMAS, Gt Yarmouth, Yacht Builder Gt Yarmouth Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 3

GEARY, WILLIAM JOSEPH, Handsworth, Farmer Birmingham Pet Jan 5 Ord Jan 5

GIBBS, WILLIAM, Bedminster, Bristol, Butcher's Assistant Bristol Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 3

GREEN, ROBERT, Astley, nr Stourport, Farmer Kidderminster Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 3

GROVES, JOHN, St Ives, Cornwall, Fish Buyer Truro Pet Jan 4 Ord Jan 4

HENTON, JOSEPH, Tamworth, Staffs, Baker Birmingham Pet Jan 4 Ord Jan 4

HORTON, JOHN, Pentre, Glam, Fish Dealer Pontypridd Pet Dec 31 Ord Dec 31

HUGHES, ELI, Wroughton, Wilts, Baker Swindon Pet Jan 5 Ord Jan 5

JENKINS, DAVID, Tynewydd, Glam, Tailor Cardiff Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 3

LEAMAN, JAMES, Torquay, Builder Exeter Pet Jan 1 Ord Jan 1

LESSER, ABRAHAM, Manchester, Waterproof Manufacturer Manchester Pet Dec 30 Ord Jan 4

MERVIN, H, South Kensington, Hosier High Court Pet Oct 23 Ord Jan 5

MOORE, THOMAS, Albrighton, Salop, Haulier Madeley Pet Jan 5 Ord Jan 5

MORLEY, JOHN, Friskney, Lincs, Farmer Boston Pet Jan 5 Ord Jan 5

NEILL, JOHN WILLIAM, Huntington, York York Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 3

NEWMAN, JOSEPH MARTEN, Fulking, Sussex, Grocer Brighton Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 3

NUNN, CHRISTOPHER CHAPMAN, Woolwich, Upholsterer Greenwich Pet Dec 30 Ord Dec 30

POSTER, H A, Hythe, Kent, Lieutenant Guildford Pet Dec 8 Ord Jan 4

REES, JOHN, Bryncoch, nr Bridgend, Glam, Collier Cardiff Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 3

ROWLES, MATTHEW WILLIAM, Woking, Builder Guildford Pet Dec 2 Ord Jan 4

SMITH, IRWIN, Manningham, Bradford, Grocer Bradford Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 3

STANNER, JOHN EDWARD, Ramsgate, Smackowner Canterbury Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 3

WEBSTER, JOSEPH, Rochdale, Licensed Victualler Rochdale Pet Jan 5 Ord Jan 5

WILLIAMS, ELIZABETH, Swansea Carmarthen Pet Jan 4 Ord Jan 4

WILSON, THOMAS, Penrith, Cumbrld, Gardener Carlisle Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 3

WORTHINGTON, SAMUEL, Derby, Compositor Derby Pet Jan 5 Ord Jan 5

ORDER RESCINDING RECEIVING ORDER.

SHUTTLEWORTH, SAMUEL, Huggin lane High Court Rec Ord Dec 2, 1897 Resc Dec 21

FIRST MEETINGS.

BAIRD, WALTER, Chester, Tobacconist Jan 14 at 12.30 Crypt chmbrs, Eastgate row, Chester

BARROWCLOUGH, WILLIAM, Burnley, Stockbroker Jan 14 at 2.15 Exchange Hotel, Nicholas st, Burnley

BRIGGS, JOHN, Workington, Cumberland, Grocer Jan 17 at 3 Court house, Cockermouth

BROWN, ZEPHANIAH MATTHEW, Leamington Spa, Warwicks Jan 14 at 11 Off Rec, 17, Hertford st, Coventry

BROWNE, WILLIAM ALEXANDER, Abergele, Denbighs, Commission Agent Jan 14 at 12 Crypt chmbrs, Eastgate row, Chester

BULLOCK, ROBERT GEORGE, Kentish Town, Cab Proprietor Jan 14 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st

BUTLER, JOHN WILLIAM TAGG, Shrewsbury, Salop, Leather Merchant Jan 17 at 11.30 Off Rec, 42, St John's hill, Shrewsbury

CHAPMAN, JOHN EOWIN, Leeds, Joiner Jan 19 at 11 Off Rec, 22, Park row, Leeds

CLEAVER, MARTYR, Rochester, Farm Bailiff Jan 19 at 11 Off Rec, 9, King st, Maidstone

DAVIES, DAVID, Cefn, Ruabon, Denbighs, Grocer Jan 18 at 12 Priory, Wrexham

DUCKWORTH, JAMES TURNER, and SAMUEL SHAW, Barnoldswick, York, Builders Jan 17 at 11 Off Rec, 31, Manor row, Bradford

FOSTER, JAMES, Bolton, Spindle Maker Jan 18 at 11 16, Wood st, Bolton

HAYNES, JOHN EDWARD, Barrow-in-Furness, Lancs, Clerk Jan 14 at 12.15 Off Rec, 16, Cornwallis st, Barrow in Furness

JAMES, JOHN, Gt Grimsby, Clerk Jan 14 at 11 Off Rec, 15, Osborne st, Gt Grimsby

JOHNSON, GEORGE, Middleham, York, Trainer Jan 17 at 11.30 Court House, Northallerton

NEILL, JOHN WILLIAM, Huntington, York Jan 19 at 12.15 Off Rec, 28, Stonegate, York

NUNN, CHRISTOPHER CHAPMAN, Woolwich, Upholsterer Jan 14 at 12.30 24, Railway app, London Bridge

OWEN, RICHARD, Bryngwran, Anglesey, Licensed Victualler Jan 18 at 1.30 Ship Hotel, Bangor

RADFORD, GEORGE WILLIAM, Birdbrook, Essex, Law Clerk Jan 18 at 3.30 Townhall, Haverhill, Suffolk

ROSEMAN, AARON ERNEST, Dowlais, Furniture Dealer Jan 14 at 12 65, High st, Merthyr Tydfil

RUTHERFORD, HARRY, Retford, Notts Jan 19 at 3 Off Rec, Byrom st, Manchester

SEABANCKER, NICCOLL FREDERICK, Mitcheldean, Glos, Medical Practitioner Jan 15 at 2.30 Bell Hotel, Gloucester

SHARMAN, WILLIAM HENRY, Barnsley, Yorks, Grocer Jan 14 at 10.15 Off Rec, Regent st, Barnsley

SHERIDAN, FORDYCE, Budge row, Barrister Jan 17 at 12 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st

SLATER, HENRY, Gt Grimsby, Blacksmith Jan 14 at 11.30 Off Rec, 15, Osborne st, Gt Grimsby

STANNER, JOHN EDWARD, Ramsgate, Kent, Smackowner Jan 20 at 9.30 Off Rec, 73, Castle st, Canterbury

TAYLOR, HERBERT JAMES, Bromley, Draper Jan 14 at 11.30 24, Railway app, London Bridge

THOMPSON, JOHN WILLIAM, Colne, Lancs, Coal Merchant Jan 14 at 12 Crown Hotel, Colne

UTLEY, WILLIS WALTER, Sowerby Bridge, nr Halifax, Hatter Jan 15 at 11 Off Rec, Townhall chmbrs, Halifax

VINCENT, CHARLES HENRY, Haverhill, Suffolk, Solicitor Jan 18 at 2.30 Townhall, Haverhill, Suffolk

WALL, OSCAR, Rusholme, Manchester Jan 14 at 3 Off Rec, Byrom st, Manchester

WILSON, THOMAS, Penrith, Gardener Jan 18 at 12.30 Off Rec, 34, Fisher st, Carlisle

WORTHINGTON, SAMUEL, Derby, Compositor Jan 17 at 12 Off Rec, 40, St Mary's gate, Derby

ADJUDICATIONS.

BAIRD, WALTER, Chester, Tobacconist Chester Pet Jan 4 Ord Jan 4

BARRATT, ANN MARIA, Hereford, Licensed Victualler Hereford Pet Jan 4 Ord Jan 4

BENARRELL, HENRY, Whittlesey, Cambs, Labourer Peterborough Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 3

BISHOP, GEORGE, Skipton, Yorks, Cycle Manufacturer Bradford Pet Oct 30 Ord Jan 4

BLEASDALE, MARY, Burnley, Confectioner Burnley Pet Jan 5 Ord Jan 5

BUTLER, JOHN WILLIAM TAGG, Shrewsbury, Salop, Leather Merchant Shrewsbury Pet Jan 1 Ord Jan 3

CLEAVER, MARTYR, Rochester, Farm Bailiff Maidstone Pet Jan 3 Ord Dec 4

CULLIMORE, CHARLES EDWARD, Taynton, Glos, Farmer Gloucester Pet Jan 1 Ord Jan 1

DANIELS, THOMAS WILLIAM, Southrepps, Norfolk, Carpenter Norwich Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 4

DAVIES, ANNIE, Morriston, Glam, Grocer Swansea Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 3

DAVIES, EVAN, Caio, Carmarthens, Farmer Carmarthen Pet Jan 4 Ord Jan 5

ELLIS, MORGAN LESLIE, Gloucester, Builder Gloucester Pet Jan 1 Ord Jan 1

FOSTER, JAMES, Bolton, Spindle Maker Bolton Pet Jan 4 Ord Jan 4

FURSE, JOSIAH, Mevagissey, Cornwall, Fisherman Truro Pet Jan 5 Ord Jan 5

GARWOOD, CHARLES THOMAS, Gt Yarmouth, Yacht Builder Gt Yarmouth Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 3

GREEN, ROBERT, Astley, nr Stourport, Farmer Kidderminster Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 4

GROVES, JOHN, St Ives, Cornwall, Fish Buyer Truro Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 4

HENTON, JOSEPH, Tamworth, Staffs, Baker Birmingham Pet Jan 4 Ord Jan 4

HOGHTON, WILLIAM HENRY, Burnley, Grocer Burnley Pet Dec 23 Ord Jan 3

HORTON, JOHN, Pentre, Glam, Fish Dealer Pontypridd Pet Dec 31 Ord Dec 31

HUGHES, ELI, Wroughton, Wilts, Baker Swindon Pet Jan 5 Ord Jan 5

JENKINS, DAVID, Tynewydd, Glam, Tailor Cardiff Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 3

LEAMAN, JAMES, Torquay, Builder Exeter Pet Jan 1 Ord Jan 1

LESSER, ABRAHAM, Manchester Waterproof Manufacturer Manchester Pet Dec 20 Ord Jan 5

NEWMAN, JOSEPH MARTEN, Fulking, Sussex, Grocer Brighton Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 3

MOORE, THOMAS, Albrighton, Salop, Haulier Madeley Pet Jan 5 Ord Jan 5

MORLEY, JOHN, Friskney, Lincs, Farmer Boston Pet Jan 5 Ord Jan 5

NEILL, JOHN WILLIAM, Huntington, York York Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 3

NUNN, CHRISTOPHER CHAPMAN, Woolwich, Upholsterer Greenwich Pet Dec 30 Ord Dec 30

REES, JOHN, Bryncoch, nr Bridgend, Collier, Cardiff Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 3

SMITH, IRWIN, Manningham, Bradford, Grocer Bradford Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 3

STANNER, JOHN EDWARD, Ramsgate, Smackowner Canterbury Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 3

WEBB, ELIZABETH, Oxford Oxford Pet Dec 22 Ord Jan 5

WEBSTER, JOSEPH, Rochdale, Licensed Victualler Rochdale Pet Jan 4 Ord Jan 5

WILKINSON, ALFRED, and JOSEPH SPENCER, Bradford Bradford Pet Dec 23 Ord Jan 5

WILLIAMS, ELIZABETH, Swansea Carmarthen Pet Jan 4 Ord Jan 4

WILSON, THOMAS, Penrith, Gardener Carlisle Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 3

London Gazette.—TUESDAY, Jan. 11.

RECEIVING ORDERS.

ATKEY, ALBERT JAMES, Cannon st, Commission Agent High Court Pet Jan 7 Ord Jan 7

BROOMHEAD, JAMES, Sheffield Sheffield Pet Jan 7 Ord Jan 7

BYNG, CHARLES WILLIAM, and ROBERT BYNG, Halesowen, Worcester, Builders Stourbridge Pet Jan 5 Ord Jan 5

CHANDLER, CHARLES, Bunwell, Norfolk, Builder Norwich Pet Jan 8 Ord Jan 8

CHITTENDEN, FREDERICK JOHN, Hove, Sussex, Coachbuilder Brighton Pet Jan 7 Ord Jan 7

CLINTON, JOHN, Craven Arms, Salop, Builder Leominster Pet Jan 7 Ord Jan 7

COPNALL, JOHN, Borrowash, Derbys, Wheelwright Derby Pet Jan 6 Ord Jan 6

CROMPTON, GEORGE FREDERICK, Bolton, Tobacconist Bolton Pet Jan 6 Ord Jan 6

CROWTHER, GEORGE EDWARD, Greetland, nr Halifax, Greengrocer Halifax Pet Jan 7 Ord Jan 7

CURRY, JAMES, Bristol Bristol Pet Jan 7 Ord Jan 7

DAWSON, CHARLES, North Cave, York, General Dealer Kingston upon Hull Pet Jan 7 Ord Jan 7

DEEKS, JOHN, Leytonstone, Builder's Foreman High Court Pet Jan 6 Ord Jan 6

DIGBY, HUGH MOUNTJOY, Putney Wandsworth Pet Jan 5 Ord Jan 5

DUFFY, PETER, Darlington, Durham, Labourer Stockton on Tees Pet Jan 5 Ord Jan 5

FLINT, REGINALD ATTLEE, Bride lane, Ludgate circus High Court Pet Oct 21 Ord Jan 7

GOLDSTON, FREDERICK HENRY, Islington, Draper High Court Pet Jan 7 Ord Jan 7

GREEN, CORNELIUS, Stourbridge, Painter Stourbridge Pet Jan 5 Ord Jan 5

GREENBAUM, MAX, Landport, Pastrycook Portsmouth Pet Jan 6 Ord Jan 6

HAGARTY, JOHN, Wimbledon, Undertaker Edmonton Pet Dec 7 Ord Jan 3

HAGUE, WILLIAM, Wheatley, nr Doncaster, Bottler Sheffield Pet Jan 6 Ord Jan 6

HART, JOHN HENRY MARK, Marylebone, Coachbuilder's Salesman High Court Pet Jan 7 Ord Jan 7

HASTINGS, BEAUMONT EDWARD, Pall mall, Builder High Court Pet Dec 9 Ord Jan 7

HENRY, PETRABOVA, Broad st, Golden sq, Tailor High Court Pet Jan 6 Ord Jan 6

HILLARD, THEOPHILUS, Trowbridge, Wilts, Oil and Colour Merchant Bath Pet Jan 7 Ord Jan 7

HOLDER, JOHN, Charlton Kings, Glos, Market Gardener Cheltenham Pet Jan 5 Ord Jan 5

THE INDIAN EMPIRE CIGAR CO, Piccadilly, Cigar Dealers High Court Pet Dec 9 Ord Jan 7

JAMES, ALBERT, Sawston, Cambs, Baker Cambridge Pet Jan 6 Ord Jan 6

JOHNSON, ROBERT, Wiske, Yorks, Farmer Northallerton Pet Jan 7 Ord Jan 7

JOLLIFFE, HERBERT, Sheffield, Grocer Sheffield Pet Jan 7 Ord Jan 7

KELSALL, GEORGE, Loughborough Leicester Pet Jan 7 Ord Jan 7

LAKEMAN, JAMES, Tokenhouse yard, Accountant High Court Pet Nov 20 Ord Jan 5

MILTON, JOHN WILLIAM, Landport, Grocer Portsmouth Pet Jan 6 Ord Jan 6

MOORES, THOMAS, Warrington, Grocer Warrington Pet Dec 4 Ord Jan 6

PEARSON, JOSEPH WOOD, Winslow, nr Bromyard, Hereford, Farmer Worcester Pet Jan 8 Ord Jan 8

ROBBINS, JOHN ROBIN, Shrewsbury, Salop, Hairdresser Shrewsbury Pet Jan 7 Ord Jan 7

ROBERTS, JAMES NASMYTH, Hampstead rd, Bamboo Furniture Manufacturer High Court Pet Nov 18 Ord Jan 6

SANSOM, WILLIAM RICHARD SMEETH, Plymouth, Sign Writer Plymouth Pet Jan 5 Ord Jan 5

SAVIGNY, EDGAR RODRIGUES, Red Lion ct, Fleet st High Court Pet Jan 5 Ord Jan 7

SHERRATT, JOHN HALL LOCKYER, Oxford Oxford Pet Jan 6 Ord Jan 6

SNELL, RICHARD, Piccadilly High Court Pet Sept 24 Ord Jan 6

TAYLOR, WALTER JOHN, Seaton, Devon, Builder Exeter Pet Jan 6 Ord Jan 6

WILLIAMS, ALFRED EDWARD, Bargoed, Glam Merthyr Tydfil Pet Jan 7 Ord Jan 7

WILSON, BENJAMIN, York, Book Keeper York Pet Jan 8 Ord Jan 8

Amended notice substituted for that published in the London Gazette of Jan. 4:

BURGESS, HENRY MORTON, Stretford, Lancs Manchester Pet Dec 4 Ord Dec 30

FIRST MEETINGS.

ADAMS, MARGARET, Oxford Jan 18 at 12 1, St Aldate's, Oxford

BAMFORTH, GEORGE, Slaithwaite, York, Plasterer Jan 19 at 11 Off Rec, 19, John William st, Huddersfield

BARNETT, ISABELLA, Cardiff, Jeweller Jan 20 at 11 Off Rec, 29, Queen st, Cardiff

BENARRELL, HENRY, Whittlesey, Cambridge, Labourer Feb 4 at 11.45 Law Courts, New rd, Peterborough

BLANKS, SUSAN, Shoreditch Jan 18 at 12 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st

BROADHURST, HERMAN STEAD, Staincliffe, nr Dewsbury, Mattress Maker Jan 18 at 3 Off Rec, Bank chmbrs, Batley

BURGESS, H M, Altrincham, Provision Merchant Jan 19 at 2.30 Off Rec, Byrom st, Manchester

BURNETT, GEORGE, Tyne Dock, Durham, Engine Fitter Jan 19 at 11.30 Off Rec, 30, Mosley st, Newcastle on Tyne

BYNG, CHARLES WILLIAM, and ROBERT BYNG, Halesowen, Worcester, Builders Jan 20 at 11.40 W S Mobberley, Solicitor, High st, Stourbridge

CHITTENDEN, FREDERICK JOHN, Hove, Sussex, Coach Builder Jan 18 at 3 Off Rec, 4, Pavilion bldgs, Brighton

CORNALL, JOHN, Borrowash, Derbys, Wheelwright Jan 19 at 3.30 Off Rec, 40, St Mary's gate, Derby

CROMPTON, GEORGE FREDERICK, Bolton, Tobacconist Jan 20 at 11 16, Wood st, Bolton

CROWTHER, GEORGE EDWARD, Greetland, nr Halifax, Greengrocer Jan 21 at 11 Off Rec, Townhall chmbrs, Halifax

DE BERNALES, EDGAR A, York bldgs, Adelphi, Editor Jan 18 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st

DERKS, JOHN, Leytonstone, Builder's Foreman Jan 18 at 2.30 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st

EVANS, WILLIAM JOHN, Bala, Merionethe, Watchmaker Jan 19 at 12 Crypt chmbrs, Eastgate row, Chester

FURSE, JOSIAH, Mevagissey, Cornwall, Fisherman Jan 20 at 12.30 Off Rec, Boscawen st, Truro

GARWOOD, CHARLES THOMAS, Gt Yarmouth Jan 22 at 12 Off Rec, 8, King st, Norwich

GIBBS, WILLIAM, Bedminster, Bristol, Butcher's Assistant Jan 19 at 12.30 Off Rec, Baldwin st, Bristol

GOLDSTON, FREDERICK HENRY, Islington, Draper Jan 19 at 2.30 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st

GREEN, CORNELIUS, Stourbridge, Painter Jan 20 at 11.30 W S Mobberley, Solicitor, High st, Stourbridge

GREEN, ROBERT, Astley, nr Stourport, Farmer Jan 20 at 2.30 Ivens & Morton, Solicitors, Kidderminster

GROVES, JOHN, St Ives, Cornwall, Fish Buyer Jan 20 at 12 Off Rec, Boscawen st, Truro

HART, JOHN HENRY MARK, Marylebone, Coachbuilder's Salesman Jan 19 at 12 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st

HENRY, PETRAROVA, Broad st, Golden sq, Tailor Jan 18 at 12 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st

HOLMES, EDWARD, Oldham, Plumber Jan 18 at 11 Off Rec, Bank chmbrs, Queen st, Oldham

JAMES, ALBERT, Cambridge, Baker Jan 19 at 10.15 Off Rec, 5, Petty Cury, Cambridge

KNIGHT, JOSEPH JOHN, Brixham, Devon, Fisherman Jan 20 at 11 Law Society's chmbrs, Athenæum lane, Plymouth

LAKEMAN, JAMES, Parliament hill, Accountant Jan 18 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st

LEAMAN, JAMES, Torquay, Builder Jan 20 at 10.45 Off Rec, 13, Bedford circus, Exeter

MARSH, HENRY, Southsea, Wholesale Fruiterer Jan 18 at 3 Off Rec, Cambridge Junctn, Portsmouth

MATTHEWS, JAMES RYMER, Almondsbury, Glos Jan 19 at 12 Off Rec, Baldwin st, Bristol

MERVIN, H, S Kensington, Hosier Jan 18 at 2.30 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st

MOORE, THOMAS, Albrighton, Salop, Haulier Jan 19 at 11.30 Off Rec, 42, St John's hill, Shrewsbury

MORRIS, GEORGE, Morchard Bishop, Devon, Farmer Jan 20 at 10.30 Off Rec, 13, Bedford cres, Exeter

NEWMAN, JOSEPH MARTEN, Fulking, Sussex, Grocer Jan 18 at 12 Off Rec, 4, Pavilion bldgs, Brighton

REES, JOHN, Byrncoch, Glam, Collier Jan 21 at 11 Off Rec, 29, Queen st, Cardiff

REVELL, ERNEST ALBERT, Alrewas, Stafford, Painter Jan 19 at 11 Law Society's chmbrs, Athenæum lane, Plymouth

RICHARDS, HARRY, Landport, Tobacconist Jan 18 at 3.30 Off Rec, Cambridge junctn, Portsmouth

RIGDEN, ELIZABETH HADLEY, Leicester, Ladies' Outfitter Jan 18 at 12.30 Off Rec, 1, Berridge st, Leicester

ROBBINS, JOHN ROBIN, Shrewsbury, Salop, Hairdresser Jan 19 at 11 Off Rec, 42, St John's hill, Shrewsbury

SANSOM, WILLIAM RICHARD SMEETH, Plymouth, Sign Writer Jan 20 at 10.30 Law Society's chmbrs, Athenæum ln, Plymouth

SAVIGNY, EDGAR RODRIGUEZ, Red Lion ct, Fleet st Jan 19 at 12 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st

SMITH, ARTHUR, Bradford Jan 18 at 11 Off Rec, 31, Manor row, Bradford

SMITH, IRVIN, Manningham, Bradford, Grocer Jan 19 at 11 Off Rec, 31, Manor row, Bradford

STOTHARD, THOMAS SINDERSON, Blyth, Nottingham, Farmer Jan 18 at 3 Off Rec, Fig Tree lane, Sheffield

TAYLOR, WALTER JOHN, Seaton, Devonshire, Builder Jan 20 at 10.45 Off Rec, 13, Bedford circus, Exeter

WHITFIELD, WILLIAM STORRY, Newcastle on Tyne Jan 19 at 12 Off Rec, 30, Mosley st, Newcastle on Tyne

WILKINSON, ALFRED, and JOSEPH SPENCER, Bradford, Mineral Water Manufacturers Jan 18 at 12 Off Rec, 31, Manor row, Bradford

WILSON, BENJAMIN, York, Bookkeeper Jan 24 at 12.15 Off Rec, 28, Stonegate, York

ADJUDICATIONS.

ATKEY, ALBERT JAMES, Cannon st, Commission Agent High Court Pet Jan 7 Ord Jan 7

BARNETT, ISABELLA, Cardiff, Jeweller Cardiff Pet Dec 20 Ord Jan 6

BLANKS, SUSAN, Shoreditch High Court Pet Jan 4 Ord Jan 7

BROOMHEAD, JAMES, Sheffield Sheffield Pet Jan 7 Ord Jan 7

BULLOCK, ROBERT GEORGE, Kentish Town, Cab Proprietor High Court Pet Dec 16 Ord Jan 7

BYNG, CHARLES WILLIAM, and ROBERT BYNG, Halesowen, Worcester, Builders Stourbridge Pet Jan 5 Ord Jan 6

CHANDLER, CHARLES, Bunwell, Norfolk, Builder Norwich Pet Jan 8 Ord Jan 8

CHITTENDEN, FREDERICK JOHN, Hove, Coachbuilder Brighton Pet Jan 7 Ord Jan 7

CLINTON, JOHN, Craven Arms, Salop, Builder Leominster Pet Jan 6 Ord Jan 7

CORNALL, JOHN, Borrowash, Derbys, Wheelwright Derby Pet Jan 6 Ord Jan 6

CROMPTON, GEORGE FREDERICK, Bolton, Tobacconist Bolton Pet Jan 6 Ord Jan 6

CROWTHER, GEORGE EDWARD, Greetland, nr Halifax, Greengrocer Halifax Pet Jan 7 Ord Jan 7

DAWSON, CHARLES, North Cave, York, General Dealer Kingston upon Hull Pet Jan 7 Ord Jan 7

DAYCHES, THEODORE, Brixton High Court Pet Nov 25 Ord Jan 7

DERKS, JOHN, Leytonstone, Builder's Foreman High Court Pet Jan 6 Ord Jan 6

DIGBY, HUGH MOUNTJOY, Putney Wandsworth Pet Jan 5 Ord Jan 5

DUFFY, PETER, Darlington, Labourer Stockton on Tees Pet Jan 4 Ord Jan 5

GOLDSTON, FREDERICK HENRY, Islington, Draper High Court Pet Jan 7 Ord Jan 7

GREEN, CORNELIUS, Stourbridge, Painter Stourbridge Pet Jan 5 Ord Jan 5

GREENBAUM, MAX, Landport, Pastrycook Portsmouth Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 6

HAGUE, WILLIAM, Wheatley, nr Doncaster, Bottler Sheffield Pet Jan 6 Ord Jan 6

HENRY, PETRAROVA, Broad st, Golden sq, Tailor High Court Pet Jan 6 Ord Jan 6

HILLARD, THEOPHILUS, Trowbridge, Oil and Colour Merchant Bath Pet Jan 7 Ord Jan 7

HOLDER, JOHN, Charlton Kings, Glos, Market Gardener Cheltenham Pet Jan 5 Ord Jan 5

JAMES, ALBERT, Sawston, Cambridge, Baker Cambridge Pet Jan 6 Ord Jan 6

JOHNSON, ROBERT, Wiske, Yorks, Farmer Northallerton Pet Jan 7 Ord Jan 7

JOLLIFFE, HERBERT, Sheffield, Grocer Sheffield Pet Jan 7 Ord Jan 7

KELSALL, GEORGE, Loughborough, Bookbinder Leicester Pet Jan 7 Ord Jan 7

LANE, WALTER, Bedminster, Bristol, Grocer Bristol Pet Nov 8 Ord Jan 7

MCBLAIN, JOHN, Gloucester rd, Draper High Court Pet Dec 16 Ord Jan 7

MILTON, JOHN WILLIAM, Landport, Grocer Portsmouth Pet Jan 6 Ord Jan 6

PEARSON, JOSEPH WOOD, Winslow, Hereford, Farmer Worcester Pet Jan 8 Ord Jan 8

ROBBINS, JOHN ROBIN, Shrewsbury, Salop, Hairdresser Shrewsbury Pet Jan 7 Ord Jan 7

RUNCIMAN, JOHN FINLAY, Gt James st, Bedford row, Journalist High Court Pet Nov 5 Ord Jan 7

SANSOM, WILLIAM RICHARD SMEETH, Plymouth, Sign Writer Plymouth Pet Jan 5 Ord Jan 5

TAYLOR, WALTER JOHN, Seaton, Devons, Builder Exeter Pet Jan 6 Ord Jan 6

WILLIAMS, ALFRED EDWARD, Bargoed, Glam Merthyr Tydfil Pet Jan 7 Ord Jan 7

WILSON, BENJAMIN, York, Book keeper York Pet Jan 8 Ord Jan 8

WRIGHT, ALICE, Newington causeway, Mantle Dealer High Court Pet Dec 22 Ord Jan 5

Amended notice substituted for that published in the London Gazette of Jan 4:

PECKETT, FREDERICK, Featherstone, Yorks, Draper Barnsley Pet Dec 30 Ord Dec 31

All letters intended for publication in the "Solicitors' Journal" must be authenticate by the name of the writer.

Subscription, PAYABLE IN ADVANCE, *which includes Indexes, Digests, Statutes, and Postage,* 52*s.* WEEKLY REPORTER, *in wrapper,* 26*s.*; *by Post,* 28*s.* SOLICITORS' JOURNAL, 26*s.*; *by Post,* 28*s. Volumes bound at the office—cloth,* 2*s.* 9*d.*, *half law calf,* 5*s.* 6*d.*

Where difficulty is experienced in procuring the Journal with regularity, it is requested that application be made direct to the Publisher.

FREEHOLD GROUND-RENT of £160 per annum, secured upon most substantial and commanding modern premises, No. 6, High-street, occupying an unequalled position in the prosperous town of Croydon. The lease is direct from the Corporation for a term of 99 years from the 24th June, 1895, and the premises are in the occupation of the lessees, The Croydon Coffee Tavern Company (Limited). Price, 35 years' purchase.—Apply, HOOKER & WEBB, Auctioneers, Croydon.

PATENTS and TRADE-MARKS.

W. P. THOMPSON & CO.,
322, High Holborn, W.C.
(and at LIVERPOOL, MANCHESTER, and BIRMINGHAM),
LONDON and INTERNATIONAL AGENTS of Provincial and Foreign SOLICITORS in PATENT matters.
Representatives in all Capitals.

WHY WOMEN ARE ATTRACTIVE.

Why is one woman attractive and another not? It isn't entirely a question of age, or features, or intellect. The most admirable and attractive thing about an attractive woman is her womanliness. Everybody admires a womanly woman. She must have health, of course, because without it she would lose the brightness of her eyes, the fulness of her cheeks, and her vivacity. Health brings all these things, but health means more than most people think of. If pale, nervous, and weak, a woman lacks good health. Women who are pale and wan should not resort to iron, drugs, and tonics, except by the advice of a properly qualified medical man. They should try instead to nourish and build up their blood by the vital nourishment imparted by Dr. Tibbles' Vi-Cocoa. And so rosy cheeks and comeliness may be attained. Surely the road is pleasanter than the thorny and nasty path paved with drugs.

Nurse Tillotson, Alexander Hotel, St. Leonard's-on-Sea, writes: "I have tried Dr. Tibbles' Vi-Cocoa, and like it very much. I shall have much pleasure in recommending it to my patients."

Miss S. Percival, Post Office, Burgh, writes:—"I do not think any other can equal yours. My father has been taking ordinary cocoa, but I think Dr. Tibbles' Vi-Cocoa is better. I will tell my friends of your Vi-Cocoa."

Mrs. King, Lindea Cottage, Wimbledon Hill, Surrey, writes:—"I think Dr. Tibbles' Vi-Cocoa is delicious, and quite fulfils all said about it."

Mrs. Budden, Bradwardine, Bournemouth, writes:—"I am pleased with Dr. Tibbles' Vi-Cocoa, and like it, and will certainly use it in future."

Merit, and merit alone, is what we claim for Dr. Tibbles' Vi-Cocoa, and we are prepared to send to any reader who names the SOLICITORS' JOURNAL a dainty sample tin of Dr. Tibbles' Vi-Cocoa free and post-paid. There is no magic in all this. It is a plain, honest, straightforward offer. It is done to introduce the merits of Vi-Cocoa into every home. Dr. Tibbles' Vi-Cocoa, as a concentrated form of nourishment and vitality, is invaluable; nay, more than this, for to all who wish to face the strife and battle of life with greater endurance and more sustained exertion, it is absolutely indispensable.

Dr. Tibbles' Vi-Cocoa can be obtained from all chemists, grocers, and stores, or from Dr. Tibbles' Vi-Cocoa, Limited, 60, 61, and 62, Bunhill-row, London, E.C. Write for free sample.

PATENTS.—Mr. F. W. GOLBY, A.I.M.E. M.S A., Patent Agent (late of H.M. Patent Office) 36, Chancery-lane, London, W.C. Letters Patent obtained and Registration effected in all parts of the World. Oppositions conducted. Opinions and Searches as to novelty.

Special Advantages to Private Insurers.
THE IMPERIAL INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED. FIRE.
Established 1803.
1, Old Broad-street, E.C., 22, Pall Mall, S.W., and 47, Chancery-lane, W.C.
Subscribed Capital, £1,200,000; Paid-up, £300,000.
Total Funds over £1,500,000.
E. COZENS SMITH, *General Manager.*

LIFE ASSURANCE POLICIES WANTED for large sums on lives past forty-five.
Considerably over surrender value given.
Speedy settlements and highest references.
Also Reversions and Life Interests purchased.
T. ROBINSON,
Insurance Broker, 85, High-street West, Sunderland.

THE REVERSIONARY INTEREST SOCIETY, LIMITED
(ESTABLISHED 1823),
Purchase Reversionary Interests in Real and Personal Property, and Life Interests and Life Policies, and Advance Money upon these Securities.
Paid-up Share and Debenture Capital, £313,725.
17, KING'S ARMS YARD, COLEMAN STREET, E.C.

EDE AND SON,
ROBE MAKERS.
BY SPECIAL APPOINTMENT
To Her Majesty, the Lord Chancellor, the Whole of the Judicial Bench, Corporation of London, &c.

ROBES FOR QUEEN'S COUNSEL AND BARRISTERS.
SOLICITORS' GOWNS.
Law Wigs and Gowns for Registrars, Town Clerks, and Clerks of the Peace.
Corporation Robes, University and Clergy Gowns.
ESTABLISHED 1689.
94, CHANCERY LANE, LONDON.